# 11.0 Opinion of Probable Costs

Opinions of probable capital and annual costs were prepared for the water transfer system facilities anticipated to be required for each corridor. For Corridor 1A, separate cost estimates were prepared for increasing the capacity of the existing Colorado River Aqueduct (CRA) facilities to result in additional transfer capability of about 200,000 acrefeet (Stage 1), and for construction of new parallel facilities with hydraulic capacity corresponding to an annual transfer volume of 300,000 acre-feet (Stage 2). Separate cost estimates were prepared for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C for annual transfer volumes of 300,000, 400,000, and 500,000 acre-feet.

In accordance with the purpose of this study, the opinions of probable costs provided in this section define an estimated range of costs for facilities to transfer water from the Imperial Irrigation District to the San Diego County Water Authority. The cost opinions should be used for the exclusive purpose of determining whether additional investment in more detailed evaluations is warranted.

This section describes the basis of the cost estimates. All estimates are in 1996 dollars. Cost summary tables providing cost breakdowns by major component for each transfer volume considered, as well as cost summary tables for each corridor are provided at the end of this section

# 11.1 Estimated Capital Costs for Corridor 1A

# 11.1.1 Stage 1--Colorado River Aqueduct Expansion

Estimated costs for required modifications to existing components of the CRA were prepared based on the concept designs and layouts provided in Section 7.0. Cost estimates were based on estimated quantities and unit costs for major civil/structural work items.

Estimated construction costs for expansion of the existing system are provided in the summary table at the end of this section. The estimated cost per linear foot of the various project features broken down by major construction operation are provided in Tables 11-1 through 11-7. Minor construction items which do not impact the cost significantly are included in the contingency percentage.

Raise Existing Canals. The unit price for concrete removal includes sawcutting the canal liner and disposing of the debris offsite. Since there will not be any significant excavation required to raise the canal liner, the embankment will have to be imported from offsite sources. The embankment behind the liner would be constructed of selected

SEC11-0 DOC 11-1

Table 11-1 Unit Cost SummaryCasa Loma Siphon							
Item	Description	Unit	Qty/LF	Unit Price	Cost (\$/LF)		
1	Excavation	CY	26	3.0	78.0		
2	Select Backfill	CY	23	11.1	255.3		
3	10 Foot RCP	ĻF	1	710	710.0		
4	Chain Link Fence	CF	2	10,5	21.0		
	Total				1,064.3		

Table 11-2 Cost SummaryReplace Cut-and-Cover Conduits With Canal								
T4	Unit Cost							
Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Price	(\$1,000)			
1	Excavation Common	CY	3,970,000	3	11,910			
2	Rock Excavation	CY	3,970,000	20	79,400			
3	Disposal of Exc. Mat.	CY	5,050,000	7.5	37,875			
4	Remove and Dispose Concrete	CY	37,900	38.9	1,474			
5	Embankment from Excavation	CY	2,743,700	1.3	3,567			
6	Concrete	SY	2,962,700	28	82,956			
7	Chain Link Fence	LF	504,680	10.5	5,299			
	Total				222,481			

Table 11-3 Unit Cost SummaryRaise Existing 20 Foot Canals							
Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost (\$1,000)		
1	Excavation	CY	432,400	3.0	1,297		
2	Remove and Dispose Concrete	CY	16,600	38.9	646		
3	Select Embankment	CY	336,600	14.8	4,982		
4	Borrow Embankment	CY	2,422,900	9.5	23,018		
5	Concrete Lining	SY	339,900	14.0	4,759		
6	Chain Link Fence	LF	665,300	10.5	6,986		
	Total				41,688		

Table 11-4 Unit Cost SummaryModify Siphon Transition Structures							
Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost (\$)		
1	Excavation	CY	800	10.0	8,000		
2	Structural Backfill	CY	160	11.1	1,776		
3	Remove Concrete	CY	102.0	83.50	8,517		
4	Reinforced Concrete	CY	342	700	239,400		
	Total				257,693		

Table 11-5 Unit Cost SummaryAdd Barrel to Siphons*								
Item	Item Description Unit Quantity Price (\$/LF)							
1	Excavation Common	CY	12	3.0	36.0			
2	Rock Excavation	CY	11	20	220.0			
3	Select Backfill	CY	20	11.1	222.0			
4	8'-6" RCP	LF	1	615	615.0			
	Total				1,093.0			

<sup>\*</sup>Does not include siphons requiring complete replacement due to excessive additional head.

Table 11-6 Unit Cost SummaryReconstruct SiphonsType 11								
Item	Description Unit Quantity Price (\$/LF)							
1	Excavation	CY	46.0	3	138.0			
2	Remove and Dispose Reinforced Concrete	CY	2.5	83,50	208 8			
3	Select Backfill	CY	37.0	111	410.7			
4	Cast-in-Place Concrete	CY	3,0	700	2,100.0			
	Total				2,857.5			

Table 11-7 Unit Cost SummaryReconstruct SiphonsType 12								
Item	m Description Unit Qty/LF Price (\$/LF)							
1	Excavation	CY	59.0	3	177.0			
2	Remove and Dispose Reinforced Concrete	CY	3.25	83.5	271.4			
3	Select Backfill	CY	49	11.1	543.9			
4	13'-0" RCP	LF	1	1,020	1,020.0			
	Total				2,012.3			

granular material to allow free draining of water accumulated behind the liner. The concrete liner would be placed and finished by machine and joints would be sawcut and sealed in the green concrete to control cracking. Concrete debris would be removed to an authorized disposal site within 5 miles distance.

Replace Cut-and-Cover Conduit With Canals. The excavation volumes have been conservatively divided equally between rock and common because the cut-and-cover conduits that the canals replace traverse very rough terrain downstream of Hinds Pumping Station. Excavated material in excess of the volumes required to build maintenance roads would have to be removed and disposed offsite. The concrete liner for the new canal will have the same dimensions as the existing canals.

Add Barrel to Siphons. Because the siphons traverse deep ravines, it is likely that excavation would be partly in rock and in common material. The other major component of the unit cost of siphons is the cost of the reinforced concrete pipe.

Unit prices for excavation differentiate between rock and common excavation, and take into account the disposal of excess excavated material in authorized disposal sites assumed to be available within distances not exceeding 5 miles. Material excavated for canal construction has been utilized where possible for the construction of embankments for maintenance roads. However, selected embankment material has been used to raise the concrete lining of existing canals.

Water Treatment. Capital costs for water treatment and brine disposal facilities were estimated based on the water quality characteristics and concept designs discussed in Section 6.0. Treatment facility costs were estimated based on construction of reverse osmosis facilities at or near existing water treatment plants located on the west side of the water transfer system. Blended water quality would be 500 mg/l TDS. For Corridor 1A, water treatment costs are based on an estimated unit construction cost of \$570/AF of delivered water. Accounting for brine disposal requirements, the annual transfer of 200,000 acre-feet of water will result in an estimated annual deliverable water volume of 181,400 acre-feet.

Land Acquisition. Land acquisition costs for tunnels (required for Case 2 only as described in Section 7.1.2) were based on an average surface easement width of 50 feet. Land acquisition costs for all other system components were based on an average right-of-way width of 150 feet.

Stage 1 Development Cost Range. The costs presented in the table at the end of this section for Stage 1 development represent a best case scenario (Case 1 in Subsection 7.1.2) in terms of existing CRA hydraulic conditions and the modifications considered for the various components of the CRA to achieve the additional annual conveyance

SEC11-0 DOC 11-5

capacity of 200,000 AF. For this best case scenario, no modifications are considered to be required to the existing pumping plants. The relationships between total pumping plant discharge and net head have not been established. Therefore, the actual capability of the pumping plants to deliver the required flows under somewhat greater total head conditions than presently exist is unknown. A potential consequence may be a reduced transfer capability below the desired 200,000 AF.

Under less favorable CRA hydraulic conditions (Case 2 in Subsection 7.1.2), the ability of the Iron Mountain and Hinds Pumping Plants to deliver the increased flows is doubtful due to even greater total head conditions. For these less favorable hydraulic conditions, construction of a new 18.3 mile long tunnel parallel to the Coachella Tunnel located downstream from Hinds, and construction of a new 8.3 mile long tunnel parallel to the Iron Mountain Tunnel located downstream from the Iron Mountain Pumping Plant were considered to be required to reduce total pumping head. Additionally, construction of a new 6 mile long tunnel parallel to the Whipple Mountain Tunnel located downstream from Copper Basin Reservoir was considered to be required to eliminate the need to increase the water surface elevation within Copper Basin Reservoir. The estimated total capital cost for these tunnels is approximately \$540 million. The addition of this cost to the best case scenario costs is considered to represent the likely upper limit of Stage 1 development costs. The breakdown of total capital costs for the additional tunnels is as follows:

Item	Cost (\$)
Tunnels: 172,128 LF (32.6 miles) x \$2,000/LF	344,256,000
Land Acquisition: 200 acre x \$10,000/acre	2,000,000
Subtotal	346,256,000
Contingency at 35 percent	121,190,000
Subtotal	467,446,000
Indirects at 15 percent	70,117,000
Total Estimated Capital Cost	537,563,000
	≅\$540 million

The wide range of estimated costs for Stage 1 development reflects the cost sensitivity of the large and complex CRA system to existing hydraulic conditions. As a result, additional design evaluations would be appropriate to refine the system hydraulic conditions and estimated Stage 1 development costs. A reduced annual transfer capability should also be evaluated. Clearly, the most cost-effective system would maximize annual transfer capability while minimizing the costs for modifications to the CRA. Therefore,

any additional evaluations should compare the costs for systems having reduced transfer capability with the incremental costs associated with providing the full 200,000 AF

# 11.1.2 Stage 2-New Parallel System

Estimated costs for new system components were prepared based on the concept designs and layouts provided in Section 7.0. Cost estimates for civil/structural work items associated with canals, reinforced concrete pipelines, and siphon facilities were based on estimated quantities and unit costs. The estimated costs for tunnels were prepared on a unit cost basis based on the tunnel cost estimates prepared for the other corridors and the expected tunneling conditions and construction methods for tunnels parallel to the CRA. Cost estimates for the pumping plants were based on an overall unit cost for installed pumping power demand at each plant. Land acquisition costs were based on the same right-of-way widths indicated for Stage 1 development and are included in the Stage 1 estimated costs.

Capital costs for water treatment and brine disposal facilities were estimated based on the water quality characteristics and concept designs discussed in Section 6.0. For Corridor 1A, water treatment costs are based on an estimated unit construction cost of \$570/AF of delivered water. Accounting for brine disposal requirements, the annual transfer of 300,000 acre-feet of water will result in an estimated annual deliverable water volume of 272,100 acre-feet.

The estimated cost per linear foot of the various project features broken down by major construction operation are provided in Tables 11-8 through 11-12. A summary of total capital cost for this alternative is provided in the cost summary table at the end of this section.

# 11.2 Estimated Capital Costs for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C 11.2.1 Canals

Unit costs for the principal items of work provided the basis for estimated construction costs. These unit costs were derived from experience with similar work and, as appropriate, were increased to reflect access, right-of-way restrictions, and distance to the project area. The estimated capital costs do not include the preparation of environmental compliance documentation or the implementation of environmental mitigation measures.

Estimated costs for concrete-lined canals are based on quantity estimates of the principal pay items and estimated unit costs. Estimated canal costs per linear foot are summarized in Table 11-13.

Table 11-8 Unit Cost SummarySan Diego & Casa Loma Canals							
Item	Description	Unit	Qty/LF	Unit Price	Cost (\$/LF)		
1	Excavation	CY	7.6	10	76.0		
2	Select Embankment	CY	1.6	10.5	16.8		
3	Embankment from Excavation	CY	8.0	1.3	10.4		
4	Concrete Lining	SY	4.0	7.0	28.0		
5	Chain Link Fence	LF	1.0	10.0	10.0		
	Total				141,2		

Table 11-9 Unit Cost SummaryCanals Upstream of Hines								
Item	Item     Description     Unit     Qty/LF     Unit     Cost Price							
1	Rock Excavation	CY	3.0	17	51.0			
2	Common Excavation	CY	3.6	10	36.0			
3	Embankment from Excavation	CY	3.0	1.3	3.9			
4	Borrow Embankment	CY	6.6	6.35	41.9			
5	Concrete Liner	SY	3.7	7.0	25.9			
6	Chain Link Fence	LF	1.0	10.0	10.0			
	Total				168.7			

Table 11-10 Unit Cost SummaryCut-and-Cover RCP							
Item	Description	Unit	Qty/LF	Unit Price	Cost (\$/LF)		
1	Rock Excavation	CY	13	17	221		
2	Common Excavation	CY	14	10	140		
3	Structural Backfill	CY	22	11	242		
4	11 foot RCP	LF	1	820	820		
	Total				1,423		

Table 11-11 Unit Cost SummaryNew Siphon Transitions							
Item	Description	Unit	Qty/LF	Unit Price	Cost (\$)		
1	Excavation	CY	1,190	10	11,900		
2	Structural Backfill	CY	680	11.1	7,548		
3	Reinforced Concrete	CY	200	700	140,000		
	Total				159,448		

	Unit Cost	Table 11-12 Summary Sipho	on Barrels		
Item	Description	Unit	Qty/LF	Unit Price	Cost (\$/LF)
1	Excavation	CY	20	10	200
2	Select Backfill	CY	15	11.1	166.5
3	84 inch RCP	LF	2	510	1,020
	Total				1,386.5

	The state of the s	200		Table 11-13	The state of the s			
	Estir	nated Cons	Estimated Construction Cost per Linear Foot of Concrete-Lined Canal	per Linear Foc	t of Concrete-	Lined Canal		
			456 cfs	456 cfs Capacity	608 cfs	608 cfs Capacity	760 cfs	760 cfs Capacity
	Unit			3				
Item	Cost, \$	Unit	Quantity	Cost, \$	Quantity	Cost, \$	Quantity	Cost, \$
Excavation	3.08	CY	4.02	12.38	4.8	14.72	4.89	15.06
Compacted	1.23	CY	0.40	0.49	0.62	0.76	0.74	0.91
Embankment								
Concrete Lining	7.02	SY	3.96	27.80	4.36	30.61	4.56	32.01
Chain Link Fence	10.00	LF	2.0	20.00	2.0	20.00	2.0	20.00
Total Cost				60.67		60.09		86.79

Estimated costs for inverted siphons, siphon undercrossings, and the turnout structure are also based on quantity estimates and estimated unit costs Land acquisition costs for canals were based on an average right-of-way width of 150 feet

### 11.2.2 Pipelines

**Pipe.** The welded steel pipe has been categorized by 1/8 inch increments. The governing pipe wall thicknesses were determined as described in Section 7.0. Unit costs were quoted by Ameron, Inc. and Northwest Pipe and include tape wrap coating overlaid by a protective cement mortar coating, delivery to the site, and a weighted average for special pipe pieces.

Installation and Trenching. The cost for pipe installation includes handling of the pipe and welding of all joints. Costs for trench and pipe installation include dewatering, location and support of existing utilities, trench excavation, removal of excess material, bedding, select backfill, and shoring. All excavation and backfill quantities are based on calculated volumes and probable construction costs of Pipeline 5EII. All unit costs have been adjusted from the unit costs of the Inland Feeder pipeline.

Accessories/Specials. Accessories and specials include appurtenances and crossings Cost estimates for appurtenances are based on the average cost per mile of appurtenances from Pipeline 5EII. Appurtenances include air release and vacuum valves, blowoffs, pump wells, manholes, cathodic protection, and fiber optics. The crossings include fault, highway, railroad, and river or stream. These costs are based on unit costs from Pipeline 5EII, with the exception of fault crossings (5EII does not cross faults).

**Surface/Utilities.** Mobilization, clearing, and hydroseeding costs are included and based on a weighted average from the cost per mile from the Inland Feeder pipeline and Pipeline 5EII.

Land Acquisition. Land acquisition costs for pipelines were estimated based on an average right-of-way width of 130 feet.

#### 11.2.3 Tunnels

Conceptual level estimates of construction cost and schedules were prepared for the various tunnel reaches within each of the alternative corridors. These tunnel cost and schedule estimates were prepared by our consultant, Mr. P. E. Sperry. The following section discusses the estimating methodology and the assumptions used to develop the estimates. The cost and schedule estimates prepared by Mr. Sperry are presented in Appendix A along with an explanation of the tunneling cost spreadsheets

The tunnels on each corridor were divided into logical construction contracts and the construction cost was then prepared for each contract. A typical contract includes a tunnel segment which is constructed portal to portal such as the tunnel on Corridor 3A between I-15 and the Diversion Structure, or the tunnel on Corridor 5A between the San Diego River and San Vicente Reservoir. Other contracts for the longer tunnels include the construction of the access shaft and construction of two tunnels extending in opposite directions from the shaft to a point midway between the next shaft or portal, or in some cases the development of a portal and construction of the tunnel to a midpoint to the first shaft. The division of each contract is shown on the Profile and Geotechnical Summary figures for Corridors 3A, 3B, and 5A, which are provided in Section 7.0.

Due to the length of the tunnels and the required working space for ventilation ducts, pipes to pump out groundwater water inflows and room for muck railcars, a 12 foot minimum excavated diameter was selected. All tunnels were assumed to have a minimum finished diameter of 9.5 feet. Costs were then prepared for two alternative lining conditions. The first condition is to use the unlined tunnel where rock quality is considered to be sufficiently good and the cover over the tunnel is sufficient to prevent hydraulic jacking, use a 12 inch thick unreinforced concrete lining in sections where the rock quality is considered to be poor (e.g., through major fault zones), and use steel lining where hydraulic jacking is considered to be a potential problem Hydraulic jacking was considered to be an issue wherever the hydraulic grade line was greater than the rock cover (e.g., at least 1 foot of rock cover needed for each foot of head). The second condition was to prepare the cost estimate assuming the entire tunnel would be lined with 12 inches of unreinforced concrete except where hydraulic jacking was an issue, in which case a steel lining was used. These various lined and unlined conditions result in three finished tunnel diameters, 12 foot for an unlined tunnel, 10 foot for a concrete-lined tunnel, and 9.5 foot for a steellined tunnel.

Using this approach, tunnel diameter is the same for each transfer volume considered and is based on the 12 foot minimum excavated diameter. As a result, tunnel costs are the same for each annual transfer volume.

A basic parameter for developing tunnel construction cost and schedule estimates is the rate of advance of the tunnel excavation. For the relatively short sections of tunnel which would be excavated using drill-and-blast construction methods, such as starter tunnels at portals and at the bottom of shafts, advance rates were assumed on the basis of numerous case histories. For the remaining long reaches of tunnel which would be excavated with a TBM, a complete "contractor-type" estimate was prepared for a 20,000 foot long tunnel excavated from a portal and placing concrete or steel lining from a portal. The

advance rates used for this estimate were based on case histories for similar length tunnels and assumed no adverse geotechnical conditions. In order to derive an advance rate for each of the tunnel segments on the various corridors, appropriate delay and cost factors were applied to the basic cost and schedule estimate to account for the construction conditions and anticipated geotechnical conditions. These conditions included the following:

- One tunnel driven from a shaft.
- Two tunnels driven from a shaft.
- Driving the tunnel on a minor downgrade (water must be pumped from heading).
- Driving the tunnel on a 3 percent downgrade.
- Driving the tunnel on a 3 percent upgrade.
- Driving the tunnel on a 5 percent upgrade.
- Installing spot rock bolts and channel steel (average two bolts per 100 feet of tunnel).
- Installing pattern rock bolts (two bolts, 4 feet on center with wire fabric and shotcrete).
- Installing steel sets 4 feet on center (4WF 13# ribs with steel mesh lagging).
- Installing heavy steel sets 4 feet on center (6WF 20# ribs with lagging).
- Installing heavy steel sets 2 feet on center (6WF 20# ribs with lagging).
- Handling medium groundwater inflows (100 to 700 gpm).
- Handling high groundwater inflows (700 to 2,500 gpm).
- Running a drill probe ahead of the TBM to check for groundwater.
- Stopping to grout ahead of the TBM to control groundwater inflows.
- Handling high ambient ground temperatures (air conditioning at heading).
- Generating onsite power at remote shaft or portal locations.
- Offsite muck disposal.

Based on the results of the geologic characterizations for the alternative tunnel corridors, the anticipated ground conditions, groundwater inflows, support requirements, lining requirements, need for grouting, etc., have been summarized on the Profile and Geotechnical Summary figures included in Section 7.0. The most significant delay to TBM advance was due to anticipated high groundwater inflows and the need to stop the TBM to advance a probe hole and to grout the rock mass to control groundwater inflows. The need to install steel sets also caused significant delays to the TBM advance rate. Shaft and portal sites which were considered to require onsite generated power, offsite

muck disposal, or a well drilled to provide water are summarized in Table 11-14. These table values were based on review of U.S.G.S. 7-1/2 minute topographic maps and the portal or shaft location relative to existing developed areas where water and power may be available. If a shaft or portal were adjacent to an undeveloped canyon of sufficient size to contain the generated tunnel muck, then onsite muck disposal was considered. If the portal or shaft was in a developed area or in Anza Borrego State Park, offsite muck disposal was considered to be required.

The costs for shaft excavation and lining was based on a cost estimate prepared for the 3,215 foot deep intake shaft at the Mt. Hope Pumped Storage Project. These costs were then modified for current California labor rates and efficiency. All shafts were assumed to be excavated to a diameter of 29 feet and lined with concrete to a finished diameter of 26 feet. The shafts were also assumed to be constructed using drill-and-blast construction methods. All work underground was assumed to consist of three 8 hour shifts per day, five days a week, with a maintenance shift on Saturday.

The costs for portals, shafts and tunnels for each contract on a particular corridor are presented in Table 11-15. These costs include both partially lined tunnels (unlined where possible), and fully lined tunnels. For purposes of the feasibility level evaluations, the estimated costs for partially lined tunnels were used as basis for the tunnel cost estimates. The costs presented are in 1996 dollars and do not include any contingencies.

Land acquisition costs for tunnels were based on an average surface easement width of 50 feet.

# 11.2.4 Pumping Plants

Estimated costs for pumping plants were prepared based on the concept designs and layouts provided in Section 7.0. Cost estimates were based on estimated quantities and unit costs for the major civil/structural work items and estimates of installed equipment costs for electrical and mechanical equipment.

# 11.2.5 Power Generating/Pressure Control Facilities

Estimated costs for power generating/pressure control facilities were prepared based on the concept designs and layouts provided in Section 7.0. For the surface power-house facilities, cost estimates were based on estimated quantities and unit costs for the major civil/structural work items and estimates of installed equipment costs for electrical and mechanical equipment. Estimated costs for the underground powerhouse associated with Corridor 3B were based on overall unit cost for installed capacity.

		Table 11-14		
	Tunnal Dant		. '1 1 11.	
	Tunnet Porta	al and Shaft Utility A	Availability	
CORRIDOR 3A: BOR	REGO SPRINGS TO I-15			
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	DOMES
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST	"THE EN AVAILABLE	DISPOSAL	POWER
3A	PORTAL 50.3	YES	NO	AVAILABLE
3A	SHAFT 58.0	NO	NO	YES
3A	SHAFT 65.0	NO	YES	YES
3A	SHAFT 72.6	1 NO	YES	YES
3A	SHAFT 80.2	NO	YES	NO
3A	SHAFT 87.8	YES		NO
3A	PORTAL 91.8	YES	NO	YES
	O DIVERSION STRUCTU		NO	YES
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND			
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
3A	PORTAL 92.0	- Vec	DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
3A		YES	NO	YES
CORRIDOR 3B: SENT	PORTAL 94.2	YES	NO	YES
TUNNEL SEGMENT		442 4 1970-1970		
IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION AND MILEPOST	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
3B			DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
3B	PORTAL 51.7	NO	NO	NO
	PORTAL 53.5	NO	NO	NO
CORKIDOR 3B: BANN	ER GRADE TO SAN VIC	ENTE RESERVOIR		
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST		DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
3B	PORTAL 60.0	NO	NO	NO
3B	SHAFT 68.6	NO	YES	NO
3B	SHAFT 76.4	NO	NO	NO
3B	PORTAL 84.2	YES	NO	NO.
CORRIDOR 5A: TIERI	RA BLANCA MOUNTAIN	S TO CHOCOLATE CANY	ON	<u> </u>
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST		DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
5A	PORTAL 29.9	NO	NO	NO
5A	SHAFT 37.0	NO	YES	NO
5A	SHAFT 45.4	NO	NO	NO
5A	SHAFT 52.0	NO	YES	YES
5A	SHAFT 58.1	NO	NO	NO
5A	PORTAL 63.8	NO	NO	NO
CORRIDOR 5A: CHOC	OLATE CANYON TO SA	N DIEGO RIVER	110	1 140
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST	***************************************	DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
5A	PORTAL 63.9	YES	NO	
5A	PORTAL 67.4	YES	NO	NO
CORRIDOR 5A: SAN D	IEGO RIVER TO SAN VI		INO	NO
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DESCRIPTION AND	WATER AVAILABLE	- ONDERT LEIGHT	T
IDENTIFICATION	MILEPOST	"THE TANK AT VALLABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
5A	PORTAL 68.1	YES	DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
5A	PORTAL 71.6		NO NO	NO
		YES	NO	NO
TUNNEL CORRIDOR	DECODIDATION VICENTI	E RESERVOIR TO SECON		
DENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION AND MILEPOST	WATER AVAILABLE	ONSITE MUCK	POWER
BEELER CANYON	<u> </u>	1.772	DISPOSAL	AVAILABLE
	PORTAL 0.0	YES	NO	YES
BEELER CANYON	SHAFT 1.3	NO	NO	NO
BEELER CANYON	SHAFT 3.5	NO	NO	NO
BEELER CANYON	SHAFT 7.3	YES	NO	YES
BEELER CANYON	PORTAL 10.5	YES	NO	YES

		ALCONOMIC CONTRACTOR AND	***************************************		The state of the s	Ţ	Table 11-15					
		7			TO A STATE OF THE	Tunnel (	Tunnel Cost Summary**	nary**				
Corridor No.	Contract No.	Portal Cost (\$)	Shaft Depth (ft)	Shaft Cost (\$/ft)	Total Shaft Cost (\$)	Tunnel Length (ft)	Partial Lining Tunnel Cost (\$/ft)	Partial Lining Total Tunnel Cost	Full Lining Tunnel Cost (\$/ft)	Full Lining Total Tunnel Cost (S)	Partial Lining Total Contract Cost*	Full Lining Total Contract Cost
3A	A	200,000				20,330	1,534	31,183,132	1,986	40,369,026	31,683,132	40,869,026
3A	8		2,868	14,965	42,918,738	38,940	2,821	109,862,837	2,983	116,165,249	152,781,575	159,083,987
34	ပ		2,302	16,117	37,101,107	38,540	4,063	156,601,946	4,063	156,601,946	193,703,053	193,703,053
3A	<u> </u>		2,594	15,460	40,102,429	39,995	2,891	115,617,297	3,327	133,059,334	155,719,726	173,161,763
3A	n) t		1,007	23,625	23,790,450	40,260	1,403	56,489,617	1,989	80,085,441	80,280,067	103,875,890
7A 3A	ـ ن	500 000	C/ C	75771	4,580,958	20,195	879'1	32,876,839	2,017	40,737,774	37,463,796	45,324,712
34		000,000				11 300	210,1	16,693,691	2.167	24 480 033	23,393,897	41,638,938
			•			}	}			3A Total	707 401 209	783 166 472
			*****							3A S/R =	3.070	3.399
3B	A	1,000,000				009'6	3,419	32,821,649	3.419	32,821,649	33,821,649	33,821,649
338	В	200,000				22,600	1,377	31,121,293	1,944	43,945,150	31,621,293	44,445,150
3B	ບ		1,485	19,329	28,703,573	43,200	1,560	67,387,262	2,034	87,859,463	96,090,834	116,563,036
38	D		1,315	20,499	26,956,228	41,700	1,607	66,999,877	2,119	88,356,726	93,956,105	115,312,954
3B	យ	200,000		******		21,100	2,141	45,173,447	2,600	54,854,182	45,673,447	55,354,182
		*********		*******						3B Total =	301,163,328	365,496,971
										3B \$/ft =	2,179	2,645
	<	200,000		•		18,750	1,449	27,172,968	1,970	36,940,788	27,672,968	37,440,788
	m		3,440	14,185	48,798,040	40,920	2,235	91,459,023	2,853	116,758,503	140,257,063	165,556,543
	ပ		3,030	14,714	44,583,855	39,595	2.079	82,323,759	2,684	106,288,516	126,907,614	150,872,371
	Ω.		2,730	15,202	41,500,305	33,400	1,800	60,118,860	2,275	75,994,230	101,619,165	117,494,535
5A	ш	0	1,750	17.959	31,427,375	31,025	1,931	59,912,146	2,359	73,183,545	91,339,521	104,610,920
		000,000				15,050	015.1	22,724,780	2,023	30,441,030	23,224,780	30,941,030
5A	· ·	1,000,000				18,200	1,548	28,178,621	1,975	35,949,589	29,178,621	36,949,589
	I	1,000,000				18,700	1,523	28,473,343	1,969	36,814,525	29,473,343	37,814,525
		********			<del> </del>			-		5A Total =	569,673,075	681,680,301
										5A \$/ft =	2,642	3,161
25	۷.	1,000,000		•••		18,200	1.548	28,178,621	1,975	35,949,589	29,178,621	36,949,589
	m	1,000,000,1			**********	8,700	1,523	28,473,343	1,969	36,814,525	29,473,343	37,814,525
		••••								SC Total =	58,651,964	74,764,114
										SC \$/ff =	1,589	2,026
		1	,	•	1							
** Detaile	n mining scenii ed eost breakf	rainal ming scenario used us busis for Detailed cost breakbowns and example o	nn Tor tur	tunnel cost estimates. ompulations are prov	sumaies. are provided in	Annandiv	<					
						wining dates				***************************************	THE PERSON NAMED AND PASSED OF THE PERSON NAMED AND PASSED PASSED OF THE PERSON NAMED AND PASSED PASSED PASSED PASSED PASSED	

SEC11-0.DOC

#### 11.2.6 Electric Transmission Lines and Substations

**Transmission Lines.** The transmission lines supplying power to the pumping stations are assumed to have a voltage of 230 kV. The transmission lines carrying power away from the proposed power generating plants are assumed to have a voltage of 69 kV.

Estimated unit costs for the 230 kV transmission lines are provided in Table 11-16. Estimated unit costs for the 69 kV transmission lines are provided in Table 11-17. Estimated land acquisition costs are based on a right-of-way width of 100 feet for a 230 kV transmission line and 60 feet for a 69 kV transmission line.

**Substation--230 kV.** Information on the transmission system additions required to interconnect each of the alternatives was developed based on the results of the technical analyses and associated assumptions discussed in Section 7.0.

The above assumptions were utilized in conjunction with unit cost information (based on historical equipment and construction costs) to develop specific estimates of probable costs for the interconnection facilities required to serve each of the Project alternatives. The results are summarized in Table 11-18

Substations--69 kV. The cost estimates for substation additions are based on the addition of a 69 kV bay for each new line at existing substations as shown in Table 11-19. This is based on the need for extension of an existing 69 kV wide flange box structure, 69 kV circuit breaker, 69 kV disconnect switches (two each), one control panel, one protective relaying panel, addition of supervisory control equipment package, and miscellaneous materials such as rigid bus, bolted connectors, insulators, and erection materials. Also, the cost of installation labor is estimated on a per bay addition basis. This estimate is based on the assumption of a level site requiring no additional site preparation

#### 11.2.7 Water Treatment

Capital costs for water treatment and brine disposal facilities were estimated based on the water quality characteristics and concept designs discussed in Section 6.0. Treatment facility costs were estimated based on construction of reverse osmosis facilities at or near the existing Mirimar and Alvarado Water Treatment Plants, located on the west side of the water transfer system Blended water quality would be 500 mg/l TDS. Brine disposal costs were estimated based on construction of new gravity sewers and a pump lift

Table 11-16
230 kV Transmission Line Unit
Cost Estimate*

D1::			
Description	Material, \$	Labor, \$	Total, \$
Structures	74,600	16,100	90,700
Conductor	42,900	34,700	77,600
Shield Wire	1,400	4,100	5,500
Foundations	70T 44	40,100	40,100
Insulators, Hardware,			
and Grounding	17,800	8,500	26,300
Total Cost/Mile	136,700	103,500	240,200

<sup>\*</sup>Land acquisition costs are accounted for separately in the cost summary table.

Table 11-17
69 kV Transmission Line Unit
Cost Estimate*

Description	Material, \$	Labor, \$	Total, \$
Structures	27,200	6,800	34,000
Conductor	21,500	17,400	38,900
Shield Wire	1,400	4,100	5,500
Foundations		31,100	31,100
Insulators, Hardware, and Grounding	7,500	3,800	11,300
Total Cost/Mile	57,600	63,200	120,800

<sup>\*</sup>Land acquisition costs are accounted for separately in the cost summary table.

Estir	Table 11-18 mated Costs for Transmission In		ı Facilities
Corridor	Assumed Facilities	Estimated Load Range, MW	Estimated Average Cost,* 1996-\$1,000
1A	70 MVAR of shunt capacitors at Colorado River Aqueduct pumping plants	100	2,100
3A	Transformers and circuit breakers at Imperial Valley Substation	115-195	13,000
3B	Transformers and circuit breakers at Imperial Valley Substation	195 260 325	15,000
5A	Transformers and circuit breakers at Imperial Valley	90 120 150	12,000
5C	Transformers and circuit breakers at Imperial Valley	220 295 370	15,000
*Excluding	contingency and indirect costs.		

	Table 11-19	)		
Substa	tion Cost E	stimate		
69 kV Sul	ostation Bay	y Addition		
	Units	Unit	Installation	Total
Equipment	Required	Cost (\$)	Labor (\$)	Cost (\$)
69 kV Circuit Breaker, SF6, 1,200A	1	40,000	960	40,960
Cont. 31.5 kA Interrupt				
69 kV Disc. Switch, 1,200A	2	6,000	2,000	16,000
Control Panel, Open Rack	2	1,800	640	4,880
Relaying Package (Installed)	1	18,000		18,000
SCADA Package	1	14,000	640	14,640
Rigid Bus, 3 in and Fittings	80 ft	25/ft	32/ft	4,560
Insulators	12	140	120/in	3,120
Wide Flange Steel Bay	1	8,000	3,200	11,200
Foundations, Pier	2	500	560/pier	2,120
Foundation, Circuit Breaker	1	1,000	1,440	2,440
Total Cost				117,920

11-19 SEC11-0 DOC

Station to convey the brine discharge from the treatment facilities to the South Bay Outfall. Water treatment costs for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C are based on an estimated unit construction cost of \$759/AF of delivered water. Accounting for brine disposal requirements, the estimated annual deliverable water volume will as follows for the transfer volumes considered:

Transfer Volume	Brine Disposal Volume	Deliverable Volume
(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)
300,000	39,900	260,100
400,000	53,200	346,800
500,000	66,500	433,500

# 11.2.8 Environmental Permitting and Mitigation

11.2.8.1 Environmental Permitting. The environmental permitting cost estimates are general and were developed by identifying the major environmental permits and approvals required for each corridor, and then estimating the costs of acquiring the necessary permits. The cost estimates include work required by the Authority, Authority consultants and regulatory agencies, and also include actual estimated permit fees, if applicable. The costs also include the work needed to complete the required technical analyses for permit applications, prepare the permit applications and negotiate permitting and mitigation requirements with the involved regulatory agencies. The estimated environmental permitting costs are presented in Table 11-20 for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C extending from Drop No. 1 on the AAC to the Second Aqueduct.

The environmental permitting cost estimates were developed on the basis of Woodward-Clyde Consultants' experience in permitting of other similar projects, including pipelines of various types and other types of facilities, in California and other states

11.2.8.2 Environmental Mitigation. The environmental mitigation cost estimates are general and were developed by considering the environmental impacts of implementing the project within each corridor and the environmental permitting requirements for the project. The cost estimates include costs for work needed by the Authority or its consultants to complete the required mitigation, direct habitat compensation (acquisition) costs, and other types of direct costs (e.g., for revegetation of the construction right-of-way). The estimated environmental mitigation costs are presented in Table 11-21 for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C extending from Drop No. 1 on the AAC to the Second Aqueduct.

	Table 11-20 Estimated Environmental Permitting Costs (Drop No. 1 to Second Aqueduct)	g Costs act)			
		Estimate	d Permitting (	Estimated Permitting Cost (\$) for Each Corridor	h Corridor
Regulatory Agency	Potentially Required Permit or Approval	3A	3B	5A	5C
Federal					
USDI Bureau of Land Management (BLM)	Grant of Right-of-Way	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	NEPA Compliance (EIS)	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,200,000	1,500,000
	Temporary Use Permit	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
	Issuance of Noncompetitive Sales of Mineral Material Contracts (pipeline bedding material)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	Assurance of Compliance with Certain Applicable Federal Laws, Orders, and Regulations, including:				
	Endangered Species Act of 1973 (as amended) <sup>a</sup>	1	-	l	
	Executive Order 11593 (Protection and Enhancement <sup>b</sup> of the Cultural Environment) and the Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), Section 106	İ		I	
	Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management <sup>e</sup>	t	ł	l	
US Forest Service	Special Use Permit	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	NEPA Compliance (EIS)°		į	-	ŀ
US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	Endangered Species Act Compliance (includes endangered species surveys, biological assessment, mitigation agreement)	400,000	400,000	320,000	400,000
	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA)°	]	1	I	#
US DOI, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Section 106 Compliance (including site testing, excluding mitigation)	400,000	400,000	320,000	400,000
US DOD, Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE)	Section 404 Permits (Stream and River Crossings)	150,000	150,000	120,000	150,000

	Table 11-20 (Continued) Estimated Environmental Permitting Costs (Drop No. 1 to Second Aqueduct)	ig Costs uct)			
Regulatory Agency	Potentially Required Permit or Approval	Estimate 3A	ed Permitting (	Estimated Permitting Cost (\$) for Each Corridor	h Corridor
California Department of Parks and Recreation	Special Use Permit	5,000	5,000	5,000	
Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)	Compliance with CA Endangered Species Act	-	1		
	Stream Alteration Agreement <sup>d</sup>	i	1	1	ı
Department of Transportation (CalTrans)	Encroachment Permits (crossings of state highways)	30,000	30,000	25,000	30,000
State Water Resources Control Board, Regional Board (CSWRCB)	NPDES Permit/Report of Waste Discharge (hydrostatic test water discharges and tunnel dewatering)	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
CSWRCB, Division of Water Rights	Temporary Permit to Appropriate Water (hydrostatic test water)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Local	3 :1 VOLO				
Other	CEQA compliance Combined local permitting (encroachment, grading, road crossings, zoning)	100,000	100,000	80,000	100,000
Total		2,664,000	2,664,000	2,149,000	2,659,000
"Included in USFWS Endanger	Included in USFWS Endangered Species Act compliance costs.				
<sup>b</sup> Included in NHPA, Section 106 compliance costs.	6 compliance costs.				
'Included in NEPA compliance costs.	costs.				
Included in ACOE Section 404/10 costs.	/10 costs.				

Table 11-21		7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	The state of the s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Estimated Environmental Mitigation Costs	tion Costs			
(Drop No. 1 to Second Aqueduct)	educt)			
	Estimated	Mitigation Co	Estimated Mitigation Cost (\$) for Each Alternative	1 Alternative
Mitigation Category	3A	3B	SA	50
Biological Resources				
Endangered Species and Wetlands				
Habitat Compensation and Restoration (Vegetation/Wildlife/Wetlands)   1,300,000	1,300,000	1,400,000	000,000	900,000
Preconstruction, Construction, and Post-Construction Monitoring (Vegetation/Wildlife)	2,100,000	2,300,000	1,600,000	3,000,000
Cultural Resources				
Construction (Emergency Discovery) Monitoring	2,100,000	2,300,000	1,600,000	3,000,000
Site Excavation and Data Recovery	1,000,000	1,000,000	200,000	1,500,000
Revegetation	800,000	1,000,000	750,000	2,300,000
Other Mitigation (Visual Resources, Socioeconomics, Land Use, Other)	400,000	400,000	300,000	400,000
Total	7,700,000	8,400,000	5,650,000	11,100,000

The environmental mitigation cost estimates were developed on the basis of Woodward-Clyde Consultants' experience in permitting and mitigation of other similar projects, including pipelines of various types and other types of facilities, in California and other states

The environmental mitigation costs do not include mitigation of impacts related to various Imperial Valley issues, primarily including effects of reduced irrigation return water flows to the Salton Sea, potential changes in the area and types of agricultural land in production or any other similar issues

The biological and cultural resources survey, permitting, and mitigation costs are based on the number of miles of canal and pipeline conveyance, and the number of miles of tunnel conveyance. They also consider the numbers, types, and locations of resources that might be affected by surface construction.

#### 11.2.9 Other Corridor Costs

Storage Reservoirs. A storage reservoir will be required for each corridor to provide daily operational storage, to balance variations in monthly supply and demand, and to provide storage for periods of scheduled and unscheduled pumping outages. The costs for storage reservoirs are accounted for in the Emergency Storage Project cost estimates ("Emergency Storage Project-Draft Opinion of Probable Phase II System Costs," GEI Consultants, Inc., February 8, 1995), and are therefore not included in the corridor cost estimates presented in this study.

Corridors 1A and 3A would utilize the proposed Moosa Reservoir for storage. Corridors 3B, 5A, and 5C would utilize an expansion of San Vicente Reservoir. The ESP cost estimate indicates a cost of \$294,400,000 for Moosa Reservoir based on an active storage capacity of 68,000 acre-feet. This active capacity approximately corresponds to the required 67,000 acre-feet active capacity identified in Section 7.4 of this study. The ESP cost estimate indicates a cost of \$131,200,000 to expand San Vicente Reservoir by 68,000 acre-feet. These costs are in late 1994 dollars and include contingency, engineering, and administration allowances, and cost offsets.

Beeler Canyon System. Corridors 3B, 5A, and 5C utilize the Beeler Canyon System from San Vicente Reservoir to the Second Aqueduct. The Beeler Canyon System, as developed for the Emergency Storage Project evaluations, originates on the west side of San Vicente Reservoir and terminates at the Second Aqueduct near Mercy Road. A pumping plant near San Vicente Reservoir would be provided to lift the design flows to a sufficient elevation to match operating heads at the Second Aqueduct. The costs for these

facilities are included in the ESP cost estimates and are therefore not included in the corridor cost estimates presented in this study.

The ESP cost estimate indicates a cost of \$135,600,000 for the Beeler Canyon System and a cost of \$46,800,000 for the San Vicente Pumping Station. These costs are in late 1994 dollars and include contingency, engineering, and administration allowances, and cost offsets.

Canal Extension from Drop No. 1 to Colorado River. The opinions of probable cost presented in this study are based on Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C extending from Drop No. 1 on the All-American Canal to the Second Aqueduct. However, total capital costs were also estimated for extending the corridors from Drop No. 1 to the Colorado River as follows:

	Ann	ual Transfer V	<u> olume</u>
Item	300,000 AF	400,000 AF	500,000 AF
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Canals*	27,119,000	29,038,000	30,814,000
Land Acquisition	6,550,000	6,550,000	6,550,000
Environmental Permitting and Mitigation	2,000,000	<u>2,000,000</u>	2,000,000
Subtotal	35,669,000	37,588,000	39,364,000
Contingency at 25 percent	8,917,000	9,397,000	9,841,000
Subtotal	44,586,000	46,985,000	49,205,000
Indirects at 15 percent	6,688,000	7,048,000	7,381,000
Total Estimated Capital Cost	51,274,000	54,033,000	56,586,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes estimated costs for concrete lined canals, siphons, drainage undercrossings and overchutes, and a turnout structure.

#### 11.3 Annual Costs

Annual costs for the water transfer system include pumping energy costs, operating, maintenance, and replacement (OM&R) costs, and annual water treatment costs. For Corridors 3B and 5C, annual costs savings would result from installation of power generating facilities to recover available energy within the system. This section describes the basis for the estimates of annual cost.

### 11.3.1 Pumping Energy Costs

Pumping energy costs were estimated for each corridor and transfer volume based on the following:

Total dynamic pumping head for each corridor as indicated in Section 2.0.

- System design hydraulic capacity for each transfer volume as indicated in Section 7.0.
- Annual pumping duration of 7,963 hours as indicated in Section 5.0.

An overall plant efficiency of 80 percent was used for the annual energy estimates. Estimated annual pumping energy requirements for each corridor and transfer volume are indicated in Table 11-22. Estimated annual pumping costs in 1996 dollars were determined based on an average energy cost of \$30.00/MWh.

	Tabl Estimated Annual P	e 11-22 umping Energy	(MWh)	
	*** . 1	Annual T	ransfer Volume	(acre-feet)
Corridor	Total Pumping Head (ft)	300,000	400,000	500,000
1A	1,662	638,200		
3A	2,000	767,000	1,024,000	1,280,000
3Bw/o Beeler System	3,600	1,382,400	1,813,100	2,303,900
3BBeeler System	580	222,700	297,000	371,200
3BTotal	4,180	1,605,100	2,140,100	2,675,100
5Aw/o Beeler System	1,600	614,400	819,100	1,024,000
5ABeeler System	580	222,700	297,000	371,200
5ATotal	2,180	837,100	1,116,100	1,395,200
5Cw/o Beeler System	4,000	1,536,000	2,047,900	2,559,900
5CBeeler System	580	222,700	297,000	371,200
5CTotal	4,580	1,758,700	2,344,900	2,931,100

# 11.3.2 Energy Recovery Value

The value of recovered energy was estimated for Corridors 3B and 5A for each transfer volume based on the following:

- Total available net head for each corridor as indicated in Section 2.0.
- System design hydraulic capacity for each transfer volume as indicated in Section 7.0.
- Annual generating period of 7,963 hours, corresponding to the annual pumping duration.

An overall plant efficiency of 85 percent was used for the annual energy estimates. Estimated annual recovered energy for Corridors 3B and 5C is indicated in Table 11-23. Recovered energy was considered to offset a portion of the transfer system pumping

power requirements. The value of recovered energy in 1996 dollars was determined based on an average energy value of \$30.00/MWh.

	Estimated	Table 11-23 I Annual Energy F		
		Annı	ıal Transfer Volun	ne (acre-feet)
	Total System			
Corridor	Net Head (ft)	300,000	400,000	500,000
3B	2,100	548,300	731,100	913,900
5C	2,350	613,600	818,200	1,022,700

### 11.3.3 Operation and Maintenance Costs

Power Generating/Pressure Control Facilities and Pumping Plants. Operation and maintenance (O&M) costs represent the average annual costs of maintaining a facility at full operating efficiency throughout its useful life. This cost includes the salaries of operating personnel; the cost of labor, plant, and supplies for ordinary maintenance and repairs; and applicable supervisory and overhead costs. O&M costs are a function of numerous variables, including project total installed capacity and type of operation, head, water quality, project location, labor and material costs, and others.

For purposes of these evaluations, O&M costs for the power generating/pressure control facilities and the pumping plants were based on a study by the Corps of Engineers of maintenance and cost information for numerous hydroelectric facilities.\* The results of the study allow for estimation of O&M costs based on installed capacity. The study results were also used for pumping plants using the installed pumping power requirement.

The following O&M costs were selected for use and are based on installed capacity associated with the 400,000 AF/y transfer volume. O&M costs developed for this transfer volume were also used for the 300,000 and 500,000 AF/y transfer volumes:

	Annual O&M
Item	Cost (1996\$)
Power Generating/Pressure Control Facilities	
Surface Facility (First Facility)	300,000
Surface Facility (Each Additional Facility)	200,000
Underground Facility (Corridor 3B)	410,000

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Predicting O&M Costs Prior to Design," B. Clowes and J. McHan, COE-Portland, as published in Hydro Review, February 1987.

360,000

250,000

The state of Auroral

**Pumping Plants** 

First Plant
Each Additional Plant

**Pipelines.** Estimated O&M costs for the pipelines and tunnels are \$400,000 annually based on a five person (average) maintenance crew, including equipment

# 11.3.4 Replacement Costs

Equipment replacement costs are applicable to the power generating/pressure control facilities and pumping plants. Major powerhouse/pumping plant and equipment components may require replacement before the end of the projected project life Examples are generator windings, turbine runners and pump impellers, thrust bearings, pumps, air compressors, communications equipment, generator, voltage regulation and excitation equipment, and certain types of transformers. The replacement cost for a facility is the estimated future cost of such replacements, converted to an equivalent average annual value over the entire project life.

Annual replacement costs were estimated at approximately 0.2 percent of the powerhouse or pumping plant costs. The following annual replacement costs were selected:

	Estimated Annual
Item	Replacement Cost (1996\$)
Power Generating/Pressure Control Facilities	•
Surface Facility	75,000
Underground Facility (Corridor 3B)	150,000
Pumping Plants	80,000

#### 11.3.5 Water Treatment Costs

Annual costs for water treatment and brine disposal were estimated based on the water quality characteristics and concept designs discussed in Section 6.0 Annual costs include operation and maintenance costs associated with the reverse osmosis and brine disposal facilities. Treatment facilities would be located on the west side of the transfer system. Blended water quality would be 500 mg/l TDS. For Corridor 1A, estimated unit annual costs are \$48.70 per acre-foot of delivered water. For Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C, estimated annual costs are \$69.50 per acre-foot of delivered water.

# 11.4 Summary of Estimated Costs

The following tables provide cost breakdowns by major component for each corridor and transfer volume:

Tables 11-24 through 11-29	Corridor Cost Summary Tables
Tables 11-30 through 11-37	Cost Summary Tables300,000 AF Transfer Volume
Tables 11-38 through 11-45	Cost Summary Tables400,000 AF Transfer Volume
Tables 11-46 through 11-53	Cost Summary Tables500,000 AF Transfer Volume

·			



TABLE 11-24 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 1A - CRA EXPANSION (Stage 1)

# ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME = 200,000 AF

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS*				
	1000			COST*
	UNII CUSI	UNIT	QUANTITY	(\$1,000)
Raise Casa Loma Siphon	1,065	111	15,312	16,307
Replace Cut-and-Cover Conduit	222,500,000	SI		222,500
Raise 20' Canals	41,700,000	LS	*	41,700
Rebuild Siphon Transitions	260,000	EA	217	56,420
Add 3rd Barrel to Siphons	1,095	T-	80,300	87,929
Rebuild Siphons-Type 11	2,900	T.	1,320	3,828
Rebuild Siphons-Type 12	2,010	17	7,810	15,698
Electric Transmission Interconnection	2,100,000	ST	~	2,100
Land Acquisition (Stage 1 and 2)	10,000	AC	000'9	000'09
SUBTOTAL				506,482
Contingency @ 35%				177,269
SUBTOTAL				683,751
Indirect Costs @ 15%				102,563
Water Treatment * *	570	AF	181,400	103,398
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS				889,711

ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS*	
	COST+
ITEM	(\$1,000)
Pumping Energy	12,764
O & M and Replacement	390
Water Treatment	8,834
Energy Recovery	0
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	21,988

approximately \$1,430,000,000 corresponding Note: As discussed in Section 11.1.1, total to an addition of approximately \$540 million represent a "best case" scenario. The likely upper limit of Stage 1 development costs is estimated capital costs indicated above to the best case costs.

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 Includes contingency and indirect cost allowances. Based on delivered water volume.

TABLE 11-25 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 1A - NEW SYSTEM (Stage 2)

ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME = 300,000 AF

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS*				
				COST*
ITEM	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	(\$1,000)
Tunnels	2,000	LF	472,630	945,260
San Diego and Casa Loma Canals	140	H.	97,152	13,601
CRA Canals	170	LF	332,650	56,551
Gravity RCP	1,425	LF	252,340	389'698
Siphon Transitions	160,000	EA	217	34,720
Siphon RCP	1,400	LF	104,742	146,639
Pumping Plants	000'000'08	ST		000'08
Electric Transmission Lines	240,200	MI	125	30,025
SUBTOTAL				1,666,381
Contingency @ 35%				583,233
SUBTOTAL				2,249,614
Indirect Costs @ 15%				337,442
Water Treatment**	970	AF	272,100	155,097
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS				2,742,154

ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS*	
	+TSOO
ITEM	(\$1,000)
Pumping Energy	19,146
O & M and Replacement	2,700
Water Treatment	13,251
Energy Recovery	0
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	35,097

. :

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 Includes contingency and indirect cost allowances. Based on delivered water volume.

TABLE 11-26 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 3A

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS*			
	ANN	ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME	UME
	300,000 AF	400,000 AF	500,000 AF
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Canals	82,084	97,576	90,480
Pipelines	247,609	289,392	349,044
Tunnels	1,063,784	1,063,784	1,063,784
Pumping Plants	91,873	111,556	124,038
Power Generating / Pressure Control Facilities	0	0	0
Electric Transmission Lines	52,920	52,920	52,920
Environmental Permitting and Mitigation	12,955	12,955	12,955
SUBTOTAL	1,551,225	1,618,183	1,693,221
Indirect Costs @ 15%	232,684	242,727	253,983
Water Treatment**	197,400	263,200	329,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS	1,981,309	2,124,110	2,276,204

	[ /¢f ngn	(64 000)	(64 000)
	/DDD/16)	(ກຸກຸກ (1 4)	(1000,1 s)
Pumping Energy	23,010	30,720	38,400
O & M and Replacement	1,875	1,875	1,875
Water Treatment	18,100	24,100	30,100
Energy Recovery	0	0	0
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	42,985	56,695	70,375

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 \*\* Includes indirect cost allowance.

TABLE 11.27 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 3B

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS*			
	NNV	ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME	UME
	300,000 AF	400,000 AF	500,000 AF
ITEM	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Canals	82,084	87,576	90,480
Pipelines	285,093	332,056	394,261
Типпе!s	453,307	453,307	453,307
Pumping Plants	156,671	190,344	216,863
Power Generating / Pressure Control Facilities	91,034	114,827	140,718
Electric Transmission Linas	68,725	68,725	68,725
Environmental Permitting and Mitigation	13,830	13,830	13,830
SUBTOTAL	1,150,744	1,263,665	1,378,184
Indirect Costs @ 15%	172,612	189,550	206,728
Water Treatment**	197,400	263,200	329,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS	1,520,756	1,716,415	1,913,912

ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS*			***************************************
ITEM	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Pumping Energy***	48,153	64,203	80,253
0 & M and Replacement	4,282	4,282	4,282
Water Treatment	18,100	24,100	30,100
Energy Recovery	(16,449)	(21,933)	(27.417)
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	54,088	70,652	87,218

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

Includes indirect cost allowance.

Includes pumping energy for Beeler Canyon System.

TABLE 11.28 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 5A

ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS+			
	ANN	<b>ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME</b>	UME
N a graphy	300,000 AF	400,000 AF	500,000 AF
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Canals	54,938	58,985	61,275
Pipelines	194,858	227,199	265,129
luntels	857,081	1892,081	857.081
Pumping Plants	64,799	78,788	92.825
Power Generating / Pressure Control Facilities	0	0	0
Electric Transmission Lines	33,410	33,410	33.410
Environmental Permitting and Mitigation	9,749	9,749	9,749
SUBTOTAL	1,214,835	1,265,212	1,319,469
Indirect Costs @ 15%	182,225	189,782	197,920
Water Treatment**	197,400	263,200	329,000
I UTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS	1,594,460	1,718,194	1,846,389

ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS*			
ITEM	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1.000)
Pumping Energy***	25,113	33,483	41.856
O & M and Replacement	1,875	1.875	1 875
Water Treatment	18,100	24.100	30 100
Епегду Явсоvегу	0	0	001,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	45,088	59,458	73.831

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000
includes indirect cost allowance.
includes pumping energy for Beeler Canyon System.

TABLE 11-29 COST SUMMARY - CORRIDOR 5C

COINTAI ED CAPITAL CUSTS			
	ANN	ANNUAL TRANSFER VOLUME	LUME
	300,000 AF	400,000 AF	500,000 AF
ITEM	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Canals	45,820	49,284	51,279
Pipelines	554,541	625,198	748,120
Tunnels	88,451	88,451	88,451
Pumping Plants	161,996	196,969	232,063
Power Generating / Pressure Control Facilities	94,603	106,731	124,779
Electric Transmission Lines	49,085	49,085	49,085
Environmental Permitting and Mitigation	17,199	17,199	17,199
SUBTOTAL	1,011,695	1,132,917	1,310,976
Indirect Costs @ 15%	151,754	169,938	196,646
Water Treatment**	197,400	263,200	329,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COSTS	1,360,849	1,566,055	1,836,622

ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS*			
ITEM	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
Pumping Energy	52,761	70,347	87,933
0 & M and Replacement	4,271	4,271	4,271
Water Treatment	18,100	24,100	30,100
Епегду Recovery	(18,408)	(24,546)	(30,681)
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS	56,724	74,172	91,623

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000
\*\* Includes indirect cost allowance.
\*\* Includes pumping energy for Beeler Canyon System.

مسم-		



TABLE 11 - 30. COST SUMMARY - 300,000 AF; CANALS

	CAPITAL	82,084	82,084	54,938	45,820
SUMMARY OF COSTS*	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5А	99

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
			m	3A	9	38	9	5A	39	
ILEM	COST	UNIT	OTY	.TSOO	QTY	COST*	QTV	COST.	OTY	COST*
Tumout	1,086,000	SI	-	1,086	l	1,086	-	1,086	-	1,086
Concrete Lined Canal	61	1	489,000	29,829	489,000	29,829	296,500	18,087	234,000	14,274
Inverted Siphon	Varies	ST		16,531		16,531	-	14,132	-	12,900
Under Crossing	Varies	รา	<b></b>	1,221	<b>*</b>	1,221		396	-	396
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	1,700	17,000	1,700	17,000	1,025	10,250	900	8,000
SUBTOTAL				65,667		65,667		43,851		38,656
Contingency	25	*		16,417		16,417		10,998		9,164
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				82,084		82,084		64,938		45,820

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 \*\* Included with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 · 31. COST SUMMARY · 300,000 AF; PIPELINES

00R CAPITAL 247,608 285,093 194,658	SUMMARY OF COSTS*		
247,608 285,083 194,658	CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	NS0
285,083 184,858	3A	247,608	500
194,858	38	285,083	200
1,1,1,1	5A	184,858	200
554,54	36	554,541	200

CAPITAL COSTS										
	_	_								
	į						CORRIDORS			
			ĺ	3A		38		5A		50
	COST		OTY OTY	COST.	OTY	COST	TTD	.LS03	ΩTY	CUST.
96 -Dis. Pipe (33ks)										
D.5" (1/2") Wall Pipe	300	5	23,180	6,854	99.800	28 840	94 500	20 350	242 220	00000
0.825" (5/8") Wall Pipa	380	1	19,600	7,644	33,300	12,887	23 800	0.000	12,5,020	03,880
0.750" (3/4") Wall Pipe	470	T.	67,600	31,772	31,200	14.684	18 500	0 185	044701	76,852
0.875" (7/8") Wall Pipa	580	17	43,800	25,404	44,800	25.984	28.500	18 530	20,000	100'07
1.0" (1") Wall Pips	800	<b>5</b>	22,700	20,430	18.000	18 200		O C	20,000	074'04
Installation/Welding/Joints	135	4	178 880	23 870	227 100	20.050	200.000	0	70,500	กษฐา
Trenching				20,010	*****	aco'oc	100,300	22,451	459,380	62,014
Open - Cut Excavation	112	11.	108 840	11 088	105 910	101.104	000			
Open - Cut Backfill	183	31	100,000	000'11	017,001	11,784	61,888	6.831	265,800	29,770
Shorad Faronation	700		100,040	766'81	105.210	19,253	61,886	11,325	285,800	48,841
Charles Lacavaigni	na)	٥.	70,050	12,609	116,550	20,979	92,290	16,812	169,800	30.584
כווחומה מפרעונוו	123	4	70,050	9,616	110,550	14,336	92,290	11.352	160 800	20 805
Accessones/Specials										2000
Appurtenances	31	LF	176,880	5,413	227,100	8.949	188.300	5 080	AE0 280	14 020
Faull Crossing	20,000	EA		0		0		2000	000,001	00041
Highway Crossings	1,800	3	150	240	300	480	16.0	240	A DEO	0000
Railroad Crossings	1,600	<b>!</b>		0		0	201	CL-V	450	0,800
River Grossings	1,700	4	5,450	9.265	2.650	4 505	2 350	2 00E	430	07/
Surface/Utilities	20	4	176,880	8.844	227 100	11 255	188 200	0.000	450.000	P67'4
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	550	5.500	700	7,000	202	6.750	1,400	R08'77
San Vincente Outfell Structure	1,000,000	rs.		0		1 000	-	1,430	nn.**	14,000
SUBTOTAL				108.097		2002	-	2001	-	1,000
Contingency	26	2		40 500		6/0'077		155,887		443,633
TOTAL PADITAL PROT		2		776'84		97,01B		38,872		110,808
100000000000000000000000000000000000000				247,609		285,093		194,658		554,541

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	S							
Operation and Maintenance	400,000	SI	<b>,</b>	400	 400	-	400	400
Continuency	i,	4					100	400
É ALCO PIONE	7.7			2	200		100	100
TOTAL OSH COST						1		22.
				Onc	200		200	 200

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 32, COST SUMMARY · 300,000 AF; TUNNELS

SUMMARY OF COSTS"	
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL
3А	1,063,784
38	453,307
5A	857,081
39	88,451

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
			3A	A	3	38	ğ	5A	9	29
ITEM	COST	UNIT	ΩTY	COST.	ΩTY	LSO3	ΩTV	COST*	ΩTΛ	COST*
Corridor 3A	3,070	LF	230,420	707,389		0		0		0
Corridor 3B	2,179	LF		0	138,200	301,138		0		0
Corridor 5A	2,642	IF		0		0	215,640	569,721		0
Corridor 5C	1,589	LF		0		0		0	36,900	58,634
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	270	2,700	160	1,600	250	2,500	20	500
Contingency	50	%		353,695		150,569		284,860		29,317
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				1,063,784		453,307		867,081		88,451

- \* Costs are in Jenuary 1996 \$1,000 \*\* Inchuded with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11-33, COST SUMMARY - 300,000 AF; PUMPING PLANTS

URMARY OF COSTS*		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	O&N
ЭA	81,873	3/6,1
38	156,671	2,813
5A	64,788	1,375
50	161,898	2,813

						E08	CORRIDORS			
				3A		38		5.5		3C
ITEM	COST	UNIT	arv	COST.	Ta	COST	TTO	. LCOSI.	ΔI	-1303
800' TOH Piant										
Ciril-Structural	-7									
Earth Excavation	3.00	CY	9,800	23	009'6	28	9,600	28	8.600	28
Rock Excavation	20.00	CY	13,600	272	13,600	272	13,600	27.2	13,600	272
Compacted Back(ill	8.00	5	23,200	186	23,200	188	23.200	188	73 200	188
Reinforced Concrets	350	CY	000'81	5,600	18,060	5,800	16,000	5,600	18,000	2,800
Superstructure	75.00	SF	10,408	780	10,400	780	10,400	780	10.490	780
Miscellaneous Matais	2,600	TN	85	169	65	169	65	169	92	£
Steel Piping / Bifurcation	1,300	ΙF	530	689	530	688	530	688	530	888
Cranse	360,000	S1	-	340	,	380	-	380		380
Elerator	150,000	rs.	1	150	1	150	-	25	-	2
Architectural	75,000	SI	Ī	7.5	í	7.5	-	75	-	75
Silework	100,000	SI	1	100	-	501	-	501	-	22
Access Road	450,000	I	2	2,250	5	2.250	5	2.250	- 15	2.250
Mechanical										1
Pumps	800,000	EA	4	3,600	Ą	3,600	4	3,600	4	3,600
Discharge Vaires	130,000	13	4	520	4	520	4	520	4	520
80P Mechanical Systems	360,000	ls.	-	360	-	380	-	380	-	380
Electrical										
Motors	1,400,000	EA	<b>*</b>	5,600	¥	5,600	*	5,600	4	5,600
BDP Electrical Systems	860,000	SI		890	-	088	-	980	_	988
Plant Substation	2,700,000	IS	1	2,700		2,700	-	2,700	-	2700
Forefay										
Earth Excavation	300	CC	80,000	180	80,000	180	80,000	æ	60,000	2
Completed Backfill	906	ç	60,000	460	99,000	490	90,000	480	90,000	480
Arphabic Concrete Liner	18.00	SY	25,000	450	25,000	450	25,000	450	25,000	450
Outfall Streeter	85,000	SI		85	-	82	1	85		58
Spillway Streeters & Pipeline	150,000	SI	-	150	1	150	-	150	7****	25
Silework	55,000	S.		55	-	55	-	55	<b>,,,,,</b>	32
Land Acquisition	10,000	ΥC	10	100	10	100	9	100	110	8
SUBTOTAL				818'52		25,812		25,918		25,918
No. Required			2		4		2		2	
800' FACILITY TOTAL			ļ	51.838		103.878		E\$ 030		101 007

" Costs une in Junuary 1908 \$1,000

TABLE 11-33, COST SUMMARY -300,000 AF, PUMPING PLANTS (Continued)

CAPITAL COSTS*										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
	UNIT			3A		38		£2	35	
13W	COST	UMIT	TTD	COST*	ΥTO	COST*	YLI	COST*	Ϋ́	CORT
400' TOH Plant										
Gra-Structural										
Enrth Excavation	3.00	CY	9,600	23	9.600	ď,				
Hock Excavation	20.00	CY	13,600	272	13.600	27.2				
Compacted Backfill	9.00	ĊŁ	23,200	188	23.200	1818				
fleinforced Concrete	350	C)	18,000	5,800	18,000	5,800				
Superstructure	75.00	SF	10,400	780	10.400	780				
Miscellensous Matale	2,600	Æ	92	169	85	169				
Stael Piping / Bifarcation	1,360	11	530	688	230	689				
Crees	360,000	97	,	380	-	380				
Elevator	150,000	S1	-	配	-	150				
Architecture	75,000	1.5		75	_	12				
Sitnerork	100,000	\$1	Į	3	-	E			ľ	
Accets Foad	450,060	ã	5	2,250	2	2.250				
Mechanical										
Ратра	600,000	EA	4	2,400	¥	2.400				
Discharge Valves	90,000	EA	¥	986	*	380				
BOP Machanical Systems	320,090	SJ	-	320	_	320				
Electrical	-									
Mators	970,000	¥	4	3,880	4	3.880				
BOP Dectrical Systems	690,000	97	-	080	-	889				
Plant Substation	1,850,000	15	-	1,850	,,	1.850				
Forebay										
Eseth Excepation	3.00	λj	60,000	180	80.000	98				
Compacted Backfill	9.00	CY	60,000	480	60,000	480				
Asphaltic Concrete Liner	18.00	87	25,000	450	25,000	450				
Outfall Structure	95,000	ST		윱		98				
Spilway Stricture & Pipeline	150,000	SI	1	150	-	150				
Sitework	55,000	LS	-	52		55				
Land Acquitition	10,000	AC	10	28	10	501				
400' Facility Total			1	21,658	•	21,659		-		T <sub>e</sub>
800' FACILITY TOTAL				51,839		102,578		51,839		128 597
PUMPING PLANT SUBTOTAL				73,498		125,237		51,839		129.597
Солблуфенсу	25	×		18,375		31,334		12,980		37,388
total capital cost				678,18		158,871		64,789		181 898
						***************************************			-	

D&M and Replacement	Varies	SI	•	1,100	_	2,080		-	2 nan
Continuency	75	ν.		200		2007			
	;	,		7,2		523	2/2		523
TOTAL DAM COST				1375		2813	34.5		:

<sup>\*</sup> Coats are in Jenuary 1898 \$1,000

TABLE 11 - 34. COST SUMMARY - 300,000 AF, POWER GENERATING / PRESSURE CONTROL FACILITIES

MWARY OF COSTS*		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	H20
38	0	0
38	91,034	1,169
5.A	0	0
29	84,603	1,158

Control Cont	CAPITAL COSTS										
COST	.u				•		***************************************				
COST   UMT   COST   COST   COST   COST   COST     2.000   CT   COST   COST   COST   COST     2.000   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT     2.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT     2.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT     2.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT     3.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT     4.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT     4.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT     4.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT     4.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT     4.000   TS   CT   CT   CT   CT   CT		THE COMME				-	}	1	- 1		-
2.000   CT   2.000   150   1500   1	(TEM	C081	UMET	À	cosr.	OT.	COST.	атı	CORT	E C	
3.00	800" Het Head Plant										
3.00	Powerboare										
3.00   CT   1,000   120   1,000   1,	Civil-Structural										
20,000   CT   15,000   1630	Ewth Escaration	3.00	CY			7,000	21			2,000	21
6.00         CT         15,000	Rock Excession	20.00	CJ.			000'8	091			000'8	8
1500   15,000   15,	Compacted Backfill	8.00	ជ			15,000	120			15,000	138
1,100   1,10	Reinforced Concrete	350	5			15,400	5,380			15,400	5,380
1,500   14   104	Superstructure	75.00	ኔ			15,500	1,167			15,500	1.183
con         1,500         LF         170         666         170 <td>Mitcellamous Metals</td> <td>2,600</td> <td>Ħ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>104</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40</td> <td>104</td>	Mitcellamous Metals	2,600	Ħ			40	104			40	104
Total Color   15   15   15   15   15   15   15   1	Steel Piping / Bifurcation	1,800	U			370	998			370	666
75,000         LS         1         75,000         LS         1         75,000         1         1         10,000         1	Gener	250,000	15				250			-	250
100,000   15   10   10   10   10   10   10	Actioners	75,000	15			Ī	75				75
450,000   MM   6   5,250   5   1   1,640   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1,640   1   1,640   1   1,640   1,640   1   1,640	Strent	100,000	15		_	1	100			1	001
1800   15   15   15   15   15   15   15	Access Road	450,000	M		_	5	2,250			વ્ય	2,250
3.000   15   15   1,800   15   1,800   15   1,800   15   1,800   15   1,800   15   1,800   15   1,800   1,50	Mechanical				_						
465,000         EA         4         1,640         4           620,000         EA         3         1,550         3           wa         450,000         LS         1         40         1           w         450,000         LS         1         40         1         1           w         670,000         LS         1         60         1         1         1           w         750,000         LS         1         60,000         480         80,000         1           Health         CT         CT         60,000         480         80,000         1         1           Health         LS         T         100         100         1         1         1           Pipeline         LS,000         LS         1         150         1         1         1           Pipeline         LS,000         LS         1         150         1         1         1           Pipeline         LS,000         LS         1         150         1         1         1         1           Light         LS,000         LS         LS         LS         LS         1         1	Turbine	3,850,000	15		_	1	3,850			ł	098'E
5.30,000   EA   1,560   1   1,560   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1   1   1,500   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1   1,500   1	tale (Velvas	460,000	EA			4	1,640			4	1,840
wine         450,000         LS         1         450         1         1           "         37,00,000         LS         1         670         1 <td>Steers Valent</td> <td>630,000</td> <td>£¥</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>m</td> <td>1,590</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>m</td> <td>1,580</td>	Steers Valent	630,000	£¥			m	1,590			m	1,580
3,700,000	BOP Mechanical Systems	450,000	53			-	450			лш	460
3,700,000 LS   1 5,700   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Electrical										
1	Senerator	3,700,000	ts			-	3,700				3,700
780,000   LS   RG   RG   RG     3.00   CT   RG   RG   RG   RG     4.00   CT   RG   RG   RG   RG     4.00   CT   RG   RG   RG     18.00   ST   RG   RG   RG     18.00   LS   L RG   RG     19.000   LS   L RG   L RG     19.000   LS   L RG   RG     19.000   LG   L RG   L RG     19.000   LG     19.000   LG   L RG     19.000   LG      BUP Electrical Systems	670,000	LS			-	670				970	
100   Cr   60,000   180   60,000   180   60,00	Plant Substation	760,000	1.5			-	780			,	788
3.00	Foretr										
8-00	E# ch Ercanston	3.00	៦			90,000	160			000'09	98
18.00   57   25,000   564   26,000   26,000   15   10   10   10   10   10   10	Compacted Backfill	9.00	ដ			60,000	460			000'09	480
150,000   15   15   150   1   1   150   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Asptraktic Conc. Liner	18,00	ઇ		-	28,000	504			26,000	504
150,000   LS   150   1   150   1   1   150   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Intake Structure	600,000	51			1	800			-	800
\$5,000         LS         T         ES         1         B         1         B         1         B<	Spillersy Structure & Pipeline	150,000	S)			ļ	150				120
10,000         AC         10         100         10         10           10,000         AC         10         26,238         10         10           10,000         10	Stemat	55,000	15			-	55				23
47,500,000 LS 1 15,228 3 3 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Land Acquisition	10,000	AC		_	10	100				100
47,600,000         LS         1         25,218         3           1         47,600         1         47,600         1           25         \$         18,203         18,204         1	SUSTOIAL						25,228				26,228
47,600,000         LS         26,228           25         3         47,600           25         3         18,227           25         3         18,237	Ma. Required					1				3	
47,000,000 LS 1,7428 17,000 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	800' FACRITY TOTAL						25,228				76,683
25 \$ 18,207	1450' Underground PH	47,600,000	53				47.600				
25 % 18,207 at 18,207	SUBTOTAL						12,828				75,013
450,44	Contributer	52	j¢.				18,207				18,921
	TOTAL CAPITAL COST						81,634				14,603

TUTERASIUM ARD RAINIEMANDE CURS	0							
D&M and Replacement	Varies	91	0	_	835	0	_	528
Contingency	52	<sub>k</sub> k	0		234	0		123
TOTAL OSM COST					1,169	0		1,168

<sup>\*</sup> Conts are in January 1986 \$1,000

TABLE 11 - 35. COST SUMMARY - 300,000 AF, ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES

	CAPITAL	52,920	68,725	33,410	49,085
SUMMARY OF COSTS*	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5A	29

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	ORS			
			æ	3A	m	38	5.4		34	
<b>43</b> 1	COST	UNIT	aTY	COST.	arv	£003	TO	COST.	VIO	COST.
69 kV Substation	120,000	ST	_	120		120	-	190		1000
69 kV Transmission Line	120,800	×		Ū	. 22	BEAA		0.71		071
230 kV Substation		21	*	2000		1		2	200	0,040
		2	*	13,000	_	15,000	-	12,000		15,000
Z3U kV iransmassion Line	240,200	X	80	19,216	80	19,216	40	9.608	Δn	9 609
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	1,000	10,000	1,400	14.000	500	5,000	BEO	000,0
SUBTOTAL				42.336		FA 980		26 720		0,500
Contingency	25	*		10.584		13.745		8 682		35,208
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				F2 920		E9 725		23 #10		3,017
	Ĭ			a made and	1	02/'00		35,410		48,680

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Included with Pumping Plant and Power Generation/Pressure Control Facilities Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 - 36, COST SUMMARY - 300,000 AF; WATER TREATMENT

SUMMARY OF COSTS"		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	O&M
3A	197,400	18,100
38	197,400	18,100
5A	197,400	18,100
26	197,400	18,100

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRI	CORRIDORS			
	TNO		e	3A	38	m	E	5A	25	29
TEM	COST		ΩTγ	COST*	ату	COST*	AL MA	COST.	ΩTΛ	COST.
TOTAL CAPITAL COST	759**	AF	260,100	197,400	260,100	197,400	260,100	197,400	260,100	197,400

	18,100
	0,100
	8,100 260,10
	260,100
	18,100
	260,100
	18,100
	260,100
	AF
S	69.5**
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	O&M and Repiacement

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Includes contingency and indirect cost allowances. Based on delivered water vokume.

TABLE 11 · 37. COST SUMMARY · 300,000 AF; ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING AND MITIGATION

	CAPITAL	12,955	13,830	9,749	17,199	
SUMMARY OF COSTS"	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5A	90	

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
	TINO			3A	3	38		E.A.		25
-	COST		) UTA	COST*	UTV	COST*	VTO	1		
T						1000		reno:		
	Varies	S.	****	2.664	-	2 886		1 2 4 4 5 1	4	
Environmental Misigasian		5				7,000	-	2,143		2,659
	Varies	2	_	7,700		8.400	-	F 850	-	11 100
SIBTOTAL						,	-	2,000		., IW
				10,364		11.084		7.794		12.750
Contagoncy	22	>**		2 591		207.6				20,122
TOTAL PADITAL PACT				2,001		6,700		058'1		3,440
ונסואר סאו זואר סמסו				12,955		13,830		9 749		17 100

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000



TABLE 11 · 38. COST SUMMARY · 400,000 AF; CANALS

8.	DOR CAPITAL	87,576		58,985	49.284
SUMMARY OF COSTS"	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5.4	36

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
			3.8	A	m	38	5A	4	39	
IER	LSOO	UNIT	ату	COST"	QTY	*TS03	QTY	COST*	ΩTV	COST.
Turnout	1,170,000	ST		1,170	1	1,170		1.170		1,170
Concrete Lined Canal	99	LF	489,000	32,274	489,000	32,274	296,500	19,569	234,000	15,444
Inverted Siphon	Varias	ST	-	18,396		18,396		15,803		14,417
Under Crossing	Varies	ST	•	1,221	,	1,221	1	396	#em/	396
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	1,700	17,000	1,700	17,000	1,025	10,250	800	8,000
SUBTOTAL				70,061		70,061		47.188		39.427
Contingency	25	%		17,515		17,515		11,787		9,857
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				87,576		87,576		58,985		49,284

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Included with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 · 39. COST SUMMARY · 400,000 AF; PIPELINES

	CAPITAL 08M	289,392 500	335,058 500		
SUMMARY OF COSTS.	CORRIDOR	3Å	38	5A	25

CAPITAL COSTS										
										ŀ
							CORRIDORS			
	TINS			3A	3	38	ur.	54	LIT)	50
	COST	UNIT	T OTY	cost.	ary	COST	aTY	COST*	ΩŢΥ	COST.
108" -Dia. Pips (33ksi)										
0.5" (1/2") Wall Pipe	340	41	23,180	7,881	99,800	33,832	94,500	32,130	213,320	72,529
0.625" (5/8") Wall Pipa	440	ιF	19,600	8,624	33,300	14,652	23,800	10,472	102,440	45,074
0.750" (3/4") Wall Pipe	530	LF	67.600	35,828	31,200	16,538	19,500	10,335	53,300	28,249
0.875" (7/8"7 Wall Pipe	050	LF.	22,700	14,755	18,500	12,875	12,100	7,865	64,400	41,880
1.0" (1") Wall Pipe	1,020	LF.	21,100	21,522	25,300	25,808	18,400	16,728	5,300	5,406
1.125" (1-1/8") Wall Pipa	1,140	T.	22,700	25,878	18,000	20,520	0	0	20,800	23,484
Installation/Welding/Joints	160	IF	176,880	28,301	227,100	38,338	166,300	26,608	459,380	73.498
Tranching										
Open - Cut Excevelion	120	T.	106,840	12,821	105,210	12,625	91,986	7,428	265,800	31,888
Open - Cut Backfill	192	ΙĒ	106.840	20,513	105,210	20,200	61,886	11,882	285,800	51,034
Shared Excavation	240	LF	70,050	16,812	118,550	27,972	092,280	22,150	169,800	40,752
Shored Backfill	133	LF.	70,050	8,317	116,550	15,501	082'280	12,275	169,800	22,583
Accessories/Specials										
Appurtenances	31	LF	178,880	5,413	227,100	8,849	166,300	5,089	459,360	14,058
Fault Grossing	20,000	EA		0		0		0		0
Highway Crossings	1,800	LF	150	240	300	480	150	240	4,250	008'0
Railroad Grossings	1,600	LF		0		0		0	450	720
River Crossings	1,700	LF.	5,450	8,265	2,650	4,505	2,350	3,885	2,500	4,250
Surface/Utilities	20	LF	176,880	8,844	227,100	11,355	166,300	8,315	458,380	22,888
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	550	6,500	700	7,000	275	5,250	1,400	14,000
San Vincente Outfall Structure	1,000,000	LS		0		1,000	l	1,000	1	1,000
SUBTOTAL				231,513		268,045		181,759		500,158
Contingency	25	%		57,878		67,011		45,440		125,040
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				289,392		335,056		227,189		625,198

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COST	TS							
	400,000	S1	-	400	_	400	 400	 400
Cantingency	75	şe		100		100	100	100
TOTAL O&M COST				200		500	200	200

• Costs are in January 1998 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 40. COST SUMMARY · 400,000 AF; TUNNELS

CORRIDOR	CAPITAL
3.4	1,063,784
38	453,307
5A	857,081
50	88,451

CAPITAL CUSTS										
		•					CORRIDORS			
1 t		-	e	3А	e	38		5.0		
	COST	TIMIL	20	rueT*		1	l			70
			117	reno!	uir	. LSD3		COST.	οTY	COST.
Corridor 3A	3,070	4	230.420	707 389						
Corridor 3R	0.420					2		>		0
do langa	6,173	<u></u>		_	138.200	301 138		c		•
Carried 54	0.000				20.000	201,100		2		<b>-</b>
	7,042	ב		-		_	715 G40	5.50 771		c
Corridor 5C	1 520	1					25.7.1	200,76.1		9
*** 7 # 0	222	5		9		_		_	38 900	£9 63#
Land Acquistion	10,000	AC	270	9 7NN	nat	4 800	CTC		Onnies .	20,000
Costmoore	S.			27,100	on.	1,000	707	7,500	20	200
orient (Base)	200	*<		353,695	•	150 569		204 000		
TOTAL CAPITAL CAST								200,402		/16,82
				1,063,784		453.307		857 001		00 654
					•					-

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Included with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11-41. COST SUMMARY - 400,000 AF. PUMPING PLANTS

SUMMARY OF COSTS*		
CORRIDOR	Espital .	0.855
3A	111,558	1,375
38	180,344	2,613
5A	78,788	1,375
35	196,989	2,813

WATER GUSIS							4404			
		-					CORRIDORS			
		*******	-	3A		38		5.4	ш,	50
ITSK	COST	UMIT	TLO	cost.	OTV	COST*	ΔI	C05T*	ary	£002
900'TDH Plant										
Civi-Structural		-								
Earth Excavation	3,00	CY	12,000	38	12,000	38	12,000	38	12,000	83
Rock Excavation	20.00	CY	17,000	340	17,000	340	17,000	340	17,000	340
Compacted Beckfall	8.00	ξĶ	29,000	232	28,000	ZEZ	29,000	232	29,000	232
Reinforced Concrete	350	L)	20,000	7,000	20,000	7,000	20,000	7,000	20,000	7.000
Superstructure	75.00	SF	11,500	883	11,500	683	11,500	698	11,500	EBB
Miscellaneous Metais	2,600	N.	60	208	80	208	8	208	80	208
Stael Faing / Biturcation	1,300	1.F	675	878	675	878	675	878	875	878
Cranes	380,000	S1	-	389	1	369	ı	360		360
Devetor	150,000	SI	-	150	+	051	124	150		3
Architectural	75,000	SI	-	75	1	75	1	75	-	75
Sitework	100,000	1.5	ę, mar	139		100	)	100	-	t00
Access Road	450,000	Œ	3	15.250	ເກ	2,250	5	2,250	5	2.250
Mechanical										
Pumps	000,000	EA	5	4,500	s	4,500	5	4,500	5	4.500
Directorge Volves	130,000	EA	m	050	5	050	2	650	5	88
BOP Mechanical Systems	450,000	SI	ļ	450	Į	450	<b>~</b> -	450	-	450
Electrical										
Maters	1,400,000	EA	5	7,000	5	7,000	2	7,000	5	7,000
BOP Electrical Systems	1,200,000	Si		1,200	,,	1,200	-	1,200	-	1,200
Plant Substation	3,300,000	ST	-	3,390		3,300	-	3,300		3,380
Forebay										
Enrth Exceration	3.00	CY	80,000	240	80,000	240	80,060	240	80,000	240
Compacted Backfill	9.00	,	80,000	640	000'08	840	90,00	840	80,000	840
Asptalise Concrete Liner	18.00	SY	33,000	504	000'EE	584	33,000	205	33,000	265
Outfall Streeting	100,000	S)	***	160	-	100	910	251		82
Spilway Stucture & Pipeline	175,000	S	_	175	1	175	,-	175	1	175
Sitework	75,000	SI	-	75	-	75	-	75		75
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	2	100	10	100	10	196	10	100
SUBTOTAL				31,515		31,515		31,515		31,515
No. Required			2		4		2		2	
800' FACILITY TOTAL				63,030		125,050		63,030		157,575

\* Caste are in January 1896 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 41. COST SUMMARY · 400,000 AF; PUMPING PLANTS ICARTINUAD

						CORRIDORS	DORS			
			-	34		38		5A	¥	55
H EM	1803	UNIX	l any	COST	T OTY	COST*	ΔI	COST	ALL	CORT
400' TOH Plant										
Civil-Structural										
Earth Excensition	3.00	10	12,000	Æ	12 000	36				
Rock Excavation	20.02	5	17,000	340	17 000	380				
Compacted Backfill	90'8	5	29.000	232	20.00	1				
Reinforced Concrete	350	i,	20,000	2,000	20,000	7,007	***************************************			
Superstructure	75.00	SF	11,500	538	11500	alea alea				
Miscalinopous Matale	2,600	×	80	208	8	902				
Steel Piping / Bifurcation	1,300	I.F	875	878	875					
Cranes	380,000	81	-	380	-					
Elevator	150,000	SI	-	150	-	150				
Architectural	75,000	15	-	75		32				
Silework	100,000	15		98	-	E.				***************************************
Access Road	450,000	Ħ	ιt)	2.250	IS.	2.50				
Mechanical										
Pumpe	600,000	EA	s.	3,000	រព	Sug.				***************************************
Discharge Valves	90,000	EA	5	450	9	450				
BOP Mechanical Systems	400,000	97	1	400	,	400				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Sectrical										
Motors	970,000	EA	5	4,850	ŝ	4.850				
80P Electrical Systems	850,000	51	_	850	•	850				
Plant Substation	2,250,000	SI	-	2,250	1	2.250				-
Torecay										
Earth Excavation	00.0	ć	80,000	240	80,090	240				
Compacted Backfill	9.00	λO	80,000	640	90,000	640				
Arphaibe Concrete Liner	18.00	SY	33,000	2004	33,000	35				
Outfall Structure	100,000	18	-	Ş	-	100				
Spilway Structure & Proefine	175,000	18	-	175	**	175				
Sibsork	75,000	81	-	75	-	75				
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	10	90	₽	8				
UD' FACILITY TOTAL			-	28,215	w.a	28,215		0		-
SEU PACILITY IN AL				63,030		128,060		63,030		157.575
PUMPING PLANT SUBTOTAL				88,245		152,275		63,030		157,576
COURSES	Z5	×		22,311		39,068		15,758		39,394
JIRL CAPITAL COST	-		-							

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COST	2									
D&M and Replacement	Varies	\$1	_	1.100	1	2000	1	000		900
Actioners	35	,				2017	-	D31.	4	7.080
The state of the s	3	e		5/2		523		275		563
TOTAL DAM COCT				I						24.0
				13/5		2,813		375		9 813
								-		

<sup>.</sup> Cott me in January 1888 \$1,900

TABLE 11 - 42 COST SUMMARY - 400,000 AF, POWER GENERATING / PRESSURE CONTROL FACILITIES

	_	D	,	<b>*****</b>	
	M70	0	1,169	0	1,156
	CAPITAL	0	114,027	0	106,731
MMARY OF COSTS"	CORRIDOR	УС	9¢	5,8	50

TEM	CAPITAL COSTS										
UMT							CORRI	DORS			
COST   UMT   COST   COST		TMI			i.A		8	4	6A	_	23
3.00	Ж	COST	UMIL	any	.coar.	άTY	COST.	ΔLD	cost.	OT?	COS
3.00	BDB' Nat Head Pfant										
3.00	Powerboons										
3.00 GT   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000   2.0000	Ciril-Streeteral										
20,000   CT   B,000   B,000	Euch Excernition	3.00	Ö			2,000	21			7,000	2
8.00   CT   15,000	Rock Excession	20.00	Ü			9,000	55			9,000	8
15,000   1	Compacted Backfill	6.00	C.			15,000	120			15,000	12
15,000   1	Reinforced Concrete	350	đ			15,400	5,380			15,400	6,39
1	Sprittelie	75.00	SE			15,600	1,163			15,500	1,18
100,000   LF   100,	Mecusaryotza Matalia	2,600	F			9	104			40	2
175,000   LS	Steel Piping   Schreeton	2,000	Ŧ			370	740			370	740
75,000   15   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Creme	275,000	1 51			,	275			-	275
100,000   15   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Architectural	75,000	SI				æ			_	7
450,000   M	Stawork	100,000	SI			1	100			-	100
### 4,600,000	Access Tood	450,000	Z			2	2,250			ıs	2,25
4,600,000   15   1   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4	Mechanical									***************************************	
### SENDON ES	Tuttine	4,600,000	13			_	4,608			ļ	4,60
STU,000 EA   3   1	Info! Vaives	690,000	E			4	2,760			4	2.78
### 500,000 IS 1  ### 4400,000 IS 1  ### 770,000 IS 1  ### 170,000 IS 1  ### 175,000	Sleeve Valves	230,000	既			3	1,590			n	1,5
## 4400,000 IS   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	BOP Mechanical Systems	200'005	1 21			Į	500			_	200
4,400,000   LS   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Electrical										
RED,000   LS   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Generator	4,400,000	s			1	4,400			•	4,4
S00,000   LS   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L	BOP Bectrical Systems	780,000	5			1	780			,	780
3.00   C7   80,000   8.00   C7   80,000   18.00   L5   33,000   170,000   L5   1   10,000   L5   1   10,000   AC   10   10,000   KC   10   10,000   KC   10	Plant Substation	800,000	53			,	800			-	80
3.00 GY   60,000	Foretisy										
8.00   GT   BD,000	Earth Escavation	3.00	ជ			60,000	240			80,000	24
18.00   ST   33,000     18.00   LS   1     176,000   LS   1     176,000   AC   1     10,000   AC   10     23,400,000   LS   1     25   \$   \$   \$   \$     25   \$   \$   \$   \$     26   \$   \$   \$     27   \$   \$   \$     28   \$   \$   \$     29   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     21   \$   \$     22   \$   \$   \$     23,000   \$   \$     24,000,000   \$   \$     25   \$   \$   \$     26   \$   \$     27   \$   \$   \$     28   \$   \$   \$     29   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     21   \$   \$   \$     22   \$   \$   \$     23   \$   \$   \$     24   \$   \$   \$     25   \$   \$   \$     26   \$   \$   \$     27   \$   \$   \$     28   \$   \$   \$     29   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$     20   \$   \$   \$     20   \$     20   \$	Compacted Backfill	8.00	Ğ			60,033	640			80,000	8
T00,000   LS   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L	Asphalte Conc. Liner	18.00	Ğ			33,000	594			33,000	594
175,000   15   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Intake Structure	200,007	15				700			Į	30
75,000 LS 10 10,000 AC 10 10,000 LS 10 11 25 %	Spilway Stucture & Pipaline	175,000	15			-	175			1	:
10,000 AC 10  10,000 AC 10  1 1  256 %	Situacit	75,000	sı			-	75			+	7
53,420,000 LS	Land Acquisition	10,000	ΑC			10	100			10	100
53,400,000 1.5 1	UBTOTAL						28,482				28,48
53,400,000 LS   1	a. Required					-				0	
83,480,000 LS 1	OU FACKLEY TOTAL						28,462				\$E'9\$
	450' Underground PH	63,400,000	S1			-	63,400	-			
* 92	UBTOTAL						211,18				E 93
	ontingency	25	<b>,</b>				22,965				21,34
	OTAL CAPITAL COST						114,827				106

D&M and Replacement	Veries	1.5	0	1	926	0	-	926
Contingency	25	*	o		234	0		231
TOTAL CAM COST			o		3857	-		1.158

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in Jenuary 1998 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 43. COST SUMMARY · 400,000 AF; ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES

	CAPITAL	52,920	68,725	33,410	49,085
SUMMARY OF COSTS"	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5A	95

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORR	CORRIDORS			
::!				3.4		38		4		
	FOCT	11811	l			ı		D.A.		29
	1000	IIIO	A	COST.	OΠ	COST.	TIO	EUST*	VI.O	ruer.
69 KV Substation	120,000	S	•	120		000				1000
69 kV Transmission I an	190.000	191		27		170	-	120	Special Control	120
0117	120,000	MI		0	22	6.844		c	5	200
230 kV Substation		<u>د</u>	-	4000					30	0,040
900 W T			-	13,000		15,000		12.000		15,000
COURT I RESERVE STORE LING	240,200	Z	80	19.216	æ	10.910	4	2000		2,000
Land Acquisition	10 00	*	***************************************		3	15,210	40	9,608	40	9,608
Sibtor	ກກດ່າງ	AC	າ,ບບບ	10,000	1,400	14,000	200	5.000	AEU	9 500
SUBIUIAL				A2 336		686				2000
Continuos	26	1		75,000		04,880		28,728		39,268
	67	æ		10,584		13 745		602.2		
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				2000		21.		200,0		/IB'A
				026,20		68,725		33.410		Ag nor
									•	1000

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 \*\* Included with Pumping Plant and Power Generation/Pressure Control Facilities Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 - 44. COST SUMMARY - 400,000 AF, WATER TREATMENT

SUMMARY OF COSTS"		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	0&M
3A	263,200	24,100
38	263,200	24,100
5A	263,200	24,100
29	263,200	24,100

UMI IIML GUOTO										
						CORR	ORRIDORS			
			3A		3	38	<b>6A</b>	-	39	
	COST	UNIT	αTY	COST*	ату	COST.	ary	COST"	TID	COST.
TOTAL CAPITAL COST	769**	AF	346.800	263,200	346,800	263,200	346,800	263,200	346,800	263,200

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COST	COSTS								
O&M and Replacement 69.5**	69.5	4	.F 346,800	24,100	346,800	24,100	346,800	346,800 24,100	24,100

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Includes contingency and indirect cost allowances. Based on delivered water volume.

TABLE 11 - 45. COST SUMMARY - 400,000 AF; ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING AND MITIGATION

	CAPITAL	12,955	13,830	9,749	17,199
SUMMARY OF COSTS*	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5A	96

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	IORS			
	불			3A	er.	38		54		56
	COST	UNIT	OΠY	COST.	ΩTY	COST	TID	1	λLO	1
Environmental Permitting	Varias	ST	-	2.664	-	2 664	-	9.140		2 050
Environmental Witination	Varios	16	-	7 700		20,7		2,112		2,033
		7		7,700		8,400		5,650	<b>y</b>	11,100
SUBIUIAL				10,364		11,064		7.789		13.759
Contingency	25	×		2,591		2.766		1.950		3 440
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				12,955		13,830		9.749	-	17.189
										2016

<sup>•</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

Cost Summary Tables--500,000 AF Transfer

TABLE 11 - 46. COST SUMMARY - 500,000 AF; CANALS

	CAPITAL	90,480	90,480	61,275	51,279
SUMMARY OF COSTS*	CORRIDOR	3A	38	5A	39

CADITAL PROTE										
au nur occio										
						CORRI	CORRIDORS			
1				3A		38		5.0		- L
ITER	COST		UTV	COST*	YLD	COST*	ALU	COCT*	VIC	
THOUSE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O	4 000 000	-						1000		1600
Troilin	່ ທຸບລະ,ບບບ	r.s		1,256		1.256		1 25R	-	4 200
Concrete Lined Canal	89	<u> </u>	480 000	99 959	400 000	0.00		2024	-	1,430
)			400,000	207'50	กกก'รณช	33,252	286,500	20,162	234,000	15.912
myerted Siphon	Varies	2		19.655		19 665	-	10 050		1
Hindar Praceing		-			-	2000	-	10,330	-	15,458
Alacenia ispira	Varies	ĽŠ		1,221	····	1.221	***	398		202
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	1,700	17,000	1 700	17 000	1 025	10 950		000
SIIRTOTAL						2001	1,043	10,430	ann	a,uuu
TU 8 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C				72,384		72,384		69 070		44 0.22
Contingency	25	25		18,096		18 098		19 955		40.023
TOTAL CAPITAL COST								14,633		10,230
				90,480		90,480	******	61.276		E1 279
							•			

• Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000 •• Included with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 - 47, COST SUMMARY - 500,000 AF; PIPELINES

UMMARI UF CUSIS		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	0.SM
3A	349,044	200
38	384,281	009
5Å	265.129	009
29	748,120	200

tail COST ( COST	3A 9,300 13,900 19,800 87,600 22,700 21,100 22,700 176,900	A COST* 3,534 6,811 11,564 49,348 26,878 26,787 31,760 30,073	38 017 74,800 25,000 33,300 31,200 19,600 18,000 25,300 18,000	28,45 12,26 19,84		5A Coere	35	
COST COST 380 480 680 680 1,140 1,140 1,170 1,170 1,100 1,200 1,200 1,200 1,200	8,300 13,000 19,600 87,600 22,706 22,706 22,700 176,900							
2002 COST 380 380 480 680 680 1,140 1,270 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 1		3,534 9,811 11,564 49,348 26,797 31,780	74,800 26,000 33,300 31,200 19,500 26,300 18,000	28,424 12,260 19,847	<b>0177</b> 70,800	PRET		
380 480 680 580 730 1,140 1,270 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,400 1,200	8,300 13,900 19,800 67,600 22,700 22,700 176,900	3,534 6,811 11,564 49,348 26,878 26,797 31,780	74,800 26,000 33,300 31,200 19,500 26,300 18,000	28,424 12,260 19,647	70,900		È	COST.
380 480 680 580 1,140 1,270 1,400 1,70 1,70 1,20 1,20 1,20	9,300 13,900 18,800 97,600 22,700 21,100 22,700 176,900	3,634 6,811 11,684 49,348 26,878 26,797 31,780	74,800 25,000 33,300 31,200 19,500 25,300 18,000	28,424 12,260 19,847	70,900			
490 590 730 1,140 1,270 1,400 170 130	13,900 18,800 97,800 22,700 21,100 22,700 176,900	9,811 11,664 49,348 26,878 26,797 31,780	26,000 33,300 31,200 19,500 26,300 18,000	12,260 18,847		28,942	128,000	48,640
680 730 1,140 1,270 1,400 170 130	19,800 97,600 22,700 21,100 22,700 176,900	11,684 49,348 26,878 28,797 31,780	33,300 31,200 19,600 26,300 18,000 227,100	19,847	23,600	11,584	85,300	41,787
730 1,140 1,270 1,400 170 130 200	97,800 22,700 21,100 22,700 176,800	49.348 26.878 26.797 31.760	31,200 19,500 26,300 18,000 227,100	27.7R	23,800	14,042	102,440	80,440
1,140 1,270 1,400 170 130 200	22,700 21,100 22,700 176,900	26.878 26.797 31.780 30,073	19,500 25,300 18,000 227,100	77.117	19,500	14,235	53,300	38,808
1,270 1,400 170 130 200	21,100 22,700 178,900	26,797 31,780 30,073	25,300 18,000 227,100	22,230	12,100	13,784	64,400	73,418
1,400 170 130 200	22,700 176,800 106,840	31,780 30,073	18,000 227,100	32,131	16,400	20,628	6,300	6,731
170 130 200	176,900	30,073	227,100	25,200	0	0	20,800	28,840
i Excavation 130 (Beckfill 200	108,840			38,807	166,300	28.271	459,340	78,088
t Excevation 130	108,840							
200		13,889	105,210	13,677	81,886	8,045	265,800	34,564
	106,840	21,368	105,210	21,042	988'10	12,377	265,800	63,160
Shored Excevation 1F	70,050	18,914	118,660	31,469	92,290	24.918	169,800	45,848
Shorad Backfill 143 LF	70,050	10,017	118,550	16,667	92,280	13,197	168,800	24,281
Accassoriesis								
Appurtenances 31 LF	178,800	5,413	227,100	8,649	166,300	6,088	459,340	14,058
Fault Crossing 20,000 EA		0		0		0		0
Highway Crossings LF	160	240	300	480	150	240	4,250	9,800
Raikoad Grossings 1,600 LF		0		0		0	450	720
River Crossings 1,700 LF	5,450	9,265	2,850	4,505	2,350	3,995	2,500	4,260
Surface/Utilities 60 LF	176,880	8,844	227.100	11,356	166,300	8,316	459,380	22,868
	220	6,600	700	7,000	929	6,250	1,400	14,000
San Vincente Outfall Structure 1,000,000 LS		0	-	1,000		1.000	1	1,000
SUBTOTAL		279,235		315,409		212,103		599,496
Contingency 25 %		89,808		78,852		63,028		149,624
TOTAL CAPITAL COST		349,044		394,261		265,129		748,120

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COST	TS							
Operation and Maintenance	400,000	SI	 400	 400	1	400	,	400
Contingency	26	×	100	100		100		100
TOTAL D&M COST			500	200		200		200

\* Costs are in January 1898 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 48. COST SUMMARY · 500,000 AF; TUNNELS

SUMMARY OF COSTS*	
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL
3A	1,063,784
3B	453,307
5A	857,081
99	88,451

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORRIDORS	DORS			
				3A	6	38		5A		39
ITEM	COST	UNIT	QTY	COST.	ΩTY	COST	ΩTV	COST	TO	COST*
Corridor 3A	3,070	41	230,420	707,389		0		U		0
Corridor 3B	2,179	느		0	138,200	301.138		G		, c
Corridor 5A	2,642	5		0		0	215 640	5R9 721		0 0
Corridor 5C	1,589	1		0		c	21212	0	36 000	20 034
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	270	2,700	160	1.600	250	2 500	50	50,034
Contingency	50	25		353,695		150,569		284 860	ŝ	29.317
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				1,063,784		463,307		857,081		88,451
								1		-

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000
 Included with Pipeline Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 - 48. COST SUMMARY - 500,000 AF; PUMPING PLANTS

UMMARY OF COSTS"		
COR	Capital	##O
ЭА	124,038	1,375
38	216,863	2,613
	92,825	1,375
50	232,063	2,813

מענווער פתפוס										
						CORR	CORRIDORS			
	UMIT		e	38	(7)	38	S.	5A	\$	50
ITEM	COST	UNIT	A.ID	-1503	ary [	COST*	TID	COST*	YYD	COST.
800' TDH Plant										
Civi-Structural										
Earth Excevation	3.00	CY	14,400	43	14,400	43	14,400	43	14,400	43
Rock Excavation	20.00	CY	20,400	804	20,400	408	20,400	408	20,400	408
Compacted Backfill	00'8	λO	34,600	278	34,800	278	34,800	278	34,800	278
Reinforced Concrete	350	CY	24,000	6,400	24,000	8,400	24,000	9,400	24,000	8,400
Superstructure	75.00	SF	12,690	945	12,600	945	12,600	845	12,600	945
Miscellaneous Metals	2,600	TN	85	247	58	247	85	247	85	247
Steel Pping / Bifurcation	1,300	LF.	835	1,086	835	1,086	935	1,088	835	1,088
Cranes	380,000	1.5	1	380		380	Į	096	1	380
Elevator	150,000	1.5		150		150	ł	150	-	쮼
Architectural	75,000	Sì	1	75	1	75	1	5/	1	75
Stewark	100,000	1.5	1	100		100		100		100
Access Read	450,000	H	5	2,250	s;	2,250	9	2,250	LD.	2,260
Mechanical										
Ponce	900,000	EA	9	5,400	8	5,400	8	5,400		5,400
Discharge Valves	130,060	E¥	8	780	8	780	8	780	8	780
80P Mechanical Systems	540,000	ts.	-	540	-	540	7000-	540	<b></b>	540
Electrical										
Motors	1,400,000	Ħ	8	8,400	8	8,400	6	B,400	8	8,400
BUP Dectrical Systems	1,420,000	S	-	1,420	ļ	1,420	1	1,420	1	1,420
Plant Substation	3,800,000	S	•	3,800	,	3,800	1	3,600	1	3,900
Forebay										
Ese th Excavation	3.00	CY	100,000	300	100,000	300	100,080	300	100,000	300
Compreted Backfill	8.00	ςχ	100,001	600	100,000	800	100,000	900	100,000	900
Arphelio Concrete Liner	18.00	Sť	41,000	739	000'1.\$	852	41,000	738	41,000	738
Outfell Structure	115,000	LS	1	115		115	1	115		115
Spillway Structure & Pipeline	200,000	1.8	-	200	ļ	200	_	200	1	200
Sitework	85,000	rs.	_	85	,	95	1	85	-	82
Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	10	100	10	100	10	100	10	100
SUBTOTAL				37,130		37,130		37,130		37,130
Mo. Required			2		4		2		ún	
800' FACILITY TOTAL				74,280		148,520		74,260		185,851

. Costs are in Jenuary 1988 \$1,000

TABLE 11.48. COST SUMMARY - S00,000 AF; PUMPING PLANTS (Centinue)

						EGRA	Cobbinone			
	UNIT			3.8	_	20		1		
ITEM	COST	UMIT	ALL O	COST	OTY	COST*	VIO	PACT		35
400' TDH Plant					The state of the s					1893
Ciril-Structural										
Earth Excavation	3.00	ζ	14.400	£42	14 400	C.P				
Bock Excavation	20.00	λĵ	20,400	408	20 400	AUB.				
Compacted Backfill	8:00	CY	34,800	278	74 80	B.C.				
Reinforced Concrete	350	Ċ	24.000	8400	24 000	0.40				-
Superstructure	75.00	š	12,600	945	12 RDI	AAR.				
Miscalansous Metals	2,600	11	95	247	985	247				
Stael Pining / Biharcation	1,300	11	835	1,088	875	1 180				
Cranta	380,000	<b>ST</b>	-	380	ţ	380				
Bayalor	150,000	97		150	-	150				
Architectural	75,000	91	-	75	-	75				
Silawork	100,000	91	-	100	-	100				
Access Road	450,000	Ī	5	2.250	LC.	2.250				
Rechesical										
Pungs	000'000	23	8	3,600	8	3 830				
Discharge Valves	90,000	E	89	88	9	S				
BOP Mechanical Systems	460,000	ST	ļ	480	-	GB7				
Electrical										
Metors	970,000	1	8		8					
BOP Electrical Systems	1,010,000	ES.	-	1.010	1	11111				
Plant Schatation	2,850,000	SI	-	2,650		2.650				
Forebay										
Earth Excavation	3.00	CY	100,000	300	100.000	UKA				
Compacted Backfill	00'0	b	100,000	88	100 000	608				
Asphaltic Concrete Liner	18.00	SY	41,000	738	41,000	238				
Outial Structure	115,000	F2	-	115	-	115				
Spilway Structure & Pipeine	200,000	91	-	202		200				
Sitework	85,000	91	_	982	-	95				
Land Acquisition	10,000	Ac	10	80	10	星				
100' FACILITY TOTAL	-		1	24,970	_	24,970		-		
BDD' FACILITY TOTAL				74,280		148,520		74.260		185.651
UMPING PLANT SUBTOTAL				08,230		173,481		74,260		185.651
Lontingency	25	*		24,808		43,373		18,585	<u></u>	48.413
	_									

ATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS									
nd Replacement	Varios	ST	-	1.100		2 000	-	1 100	2,000
<b>e</b> ocy	25	¥		37.6	-	Can		7,100	7080
Delis poor						37.3		6/2	523
iona Etn				1,375		2,813		1,375	2,813

Costs are in January 1898 \$1,000

TABLE 11 - 60. COST SUMMARY - 600,000 AF; POWER GENERATING | PRESSURE CONTROL FACULTIES

SUMMARY OF COSTS*		
CORRIDOR	Capital	M%0
JA.	0	0
38	140,718	1,189
€4	0	0
Light Service Control of the Control	194 770	921 3

						CORRIDORS	DORB			
Į	TUND			JA.		38	æ	5A		29
East		TIME T	TID	COST	TID	cost.	ΔIJ	COST.	ALL	COST
Description										L
			-				•			_
CANSTREAM										
Earth Exemption	3.00	ដ			7,000	21			7.000	ľ
flock Excavetion	20.00	λū			8,000	160			9008	121
Compacted Backfill	8.00	5			15,000	120			25,000	3
Beinforced Concrete	350	5			15.400	6.380			15 400	2 200
Superatructure	75.00	125			16,500	1.183			15 500	1 163
Nercellaneous Metads	2,600	Æ			40	104		-	200	
Steel Piping / Bifurcation	2,200	33			370	614			120	5 2
Change	300,000	SI			-	300			-	5
Architectural	75,000	51			į	75				ř
Strock	100,000	SI			-	100				S E
Access Road	450,000	52				2.750		-		7.36
Mscharical										10777
Turbine	8,440,000	21			-	8 440			-	330
Iriet Valves	820,000	EA			4	3.680				2 68
Stern Valves	630,000	13			9	2,070			-	20.0
BDP Mechanical Systems	650,000	51			-	550			-	i.
Bectical										200
Generator	5,080,000	5]			_	5.080				863
80P Electrical Systems	910,000	12				016				200
Plant Substition	1,035,000	1.5			-	1,035				5001
Foreces										
Earth Exceretion	3.00	Շ			100,000	200			100.000	300
Compacted Beckfill	8.00	5			100,000	800			100,000	8
Asptaltic Conc. Line	18.00	25			41,000	338			41,000	F
Intake Structure	000,000	S			-	909			-	800
Spanner Structure & Pipeline	200,000	S)				200			1	200
VI DECT	85,000	15			-	92			1	88
Land Acquainton	10,000	ΥC			10	100			10	100
BUBIOTAL						33,276				31.276
k. Recured					~~				6	
BDD' FACELTY TOTAL						33,276				\$8.124
450' Underground Pil	76,300,000	1.5		_	-	78,300				
SUBTOTAL						112,676				89.824
Contingency	25	×		_		28,144				24,858
TAL CAPITAL COST	_								***************************************	

RATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	_							
and Replacement	Varies	ST	0	935		-	-	200
ולאינוכא	22	×	0	236		, ,		1
II OAM COST					-			3
3				1.168		-	•••	-

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1890 \$1,000

TABLE 11 · 61. COST SUMMARY · 600,000 AF; ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINES

SUMMARY OF COSTS*	
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL
3А	52,920
38	68,725
5A	33,410
29	49,085

UNIT         3A         AB         CORRIDORS           n Line         120,000         LS         1         120         1         120         1         120         1         120         1	CABITAL COSTS										
UNIT         3A         COST         C	CALLIAL DOSIO										
unit         unit         aA         aB         aB         attent         and         attent         and         attent         atten							COBRI	DORS			
etion         COST         UNIT         QTY         COST*         COST*           etion         120,000         LS         1         120         1         120           mission Line         120,800         MI         RO         55         6,644         1           stration         LS         1         13,000         1         15,000         1           stripsion Line         240,200         MI         80         19,216         80         19,216           tion         10,000         AC         1,000         1,400         14,000         14,000           tion         25         %         42,336         64,880         64,880         13,745		TIKO	<b></b>	3	A	e			5А	9	99
ation         120,000         LS         1         120         1         120           mission Line         120,800         MI         0         55         6,644         6,644           tration         LS         1         13,000         1         15,000         1           trion         10,000         AC         1,000         1,400         14,000         14,000           trion         25         %         10,584         10,745         13,745	ITEM	COST	UNIT	QTY	COST.	QTY	COST.	QTY	COST.	QTV	COST.
ntistion Line         120,800         MI         0         55         6,644         4           station         LS         1         13,000         1         15,000         1         15,000         1         15,000         1         10,000         10,216         80         19,216         10,216<	69 kV Substation	120,000	TS.	+	120	-	120		120	-	120
station         LS         1         13,000         1         15,000           smission Line         240,200         MI         80         19,216         80         19,216           ition         10,000         AC         1,000         1,400         14,000           store         25         %         42,336         64,880           store         10,584         13,745	89 kV Transmission Line	120,800	M		0	99	6,644	**************************************	0	50	6,040
servission Line         240,200         MI         80         19,216         80         19,216           Ition         10,000         AC         1,000         1,400         14,000           25         %         42,336         64,980           10,584         13,745	230 kV Substation		87	-	13,000	•	15,000	<b>*</b> ~	12,000		15,000
tion         10,000         AC         1,000         10,000         14,000         14,000         14,000           25         %         42,336         64,980         10,584         13,745	230 kV Transmission Line	240,200	M	80	19,216	80	19,216	40	9,608	40	809'6
25 % 42,336 10,584	Land Acquisition	10,000	AC	1,000	10,000	1,400	14,000	200	5,000	850	8,500
25 % 10,584	SUBTOTAL				42,336		54,980		26,728		39,268
	Contingency	25	*		10,584		13,745		6,682		9,817
62,920	TOTAL CAPITAL COST				62,920		68,725		33,410		49,085

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000
 Included with Purraing Plant and Power Generation/Prassure Control Facilities Operation and Maintenance Costs

TABLE 11 - 52. COST SUMMARY - 500,000 AF; WATER TREATMENT

SUMMARY OF COSTS"		
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL	O&M
3А	329,000	30,100
38	329,000	30,100
Pγ	329,000	30,100
29	329,000	30,100

CAPITAL COSTS		***								
						CORR	CORRIDORS			
diameter (	TIM		E	3A	3	38	¥9		99	
ITEM	COST	UNIT	ату	COST"	ату	COST.	αTV	COST"	QTY	.LSOO
TOTAL CAPITAL COST	768**	AF	433,600	329,000	433,500	328,000	433,500	329,000	433,500	329,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	LS.									
0&M and Replacement 69.5∙•	69.5**   AF	AF	433,500 30,100	30,100	30,100   433,500   30	00 30,100	433,500	30,100	433,500	433,500 30,100

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000
 Includes contingency and indirect cost allowances. Based on delivered water volume.

TABLE 11 · 53. COST SUMMARY · 609,000 AF; ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING AND MITIGATION

SUMMARY OF COSTS"	
CORRIDOR	CAPITAL
3A	12,955
38	13,830
5A	9,749
90	17,199

CAPITAL COSTS										
						CORR	CORRIDORS			
; ;	TING			3А		38		EA .		25
	COST		VTO	COST	VTO	PACT	ייייי	1		1
						1000	117	เดา	n i i	. COS
CIVITOTINATION POLINITARY	Varies	ട		2.664	_	2 664	-	9 140	*	2,000
Froutnamental Missaction	5 74					1		6,143		6cq'7
	Varios	3	<b></b>	7,700		8.400	_	5 850	-	11 100
CIRTIETA								2,030	-	11,100
				10,364		11,064		7 799		12 750
Contingency	25	3/2		2.591		2 786		1 000		
TOTAL PADITAL PACT						2,100		D06'1		3,440
TOTAL DAI HAL DUST				12,955		13,830		67/ 6		17 100
										201.71

\* Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000



### 12.0 Staging Opportunities

### 12.1 Introduction

This section identifies possible strategies to stage construction of the alternative corridors in an effort to defer capital cost.

### 12.2 Evaluation of Staging

### Corridor 1A

Corridor 1A is an excellent candidate for staging of construction. Stage 1 would consist of modifications to the CRA to deliver about 200,000 AF. Later, as demands increase, Stage 2 would consist of construction of a parallel system to the existing CRA sized to deliver 300,000 AF, for a total increased capacity of 500,000 AF. Detailed descriptions of the components associated with Corridor 1A are presented in Section 7.0 of this report. For cash flow projections, Stage 2 is considered to become operational 10 years after Stage 1.

### Corridor 3A

Corridor 3A consists of some 90 miles of canal, over 30 miles of pipeline, and about 40 miles of tunnel. Three pumping plants are also associated with Corridor 3A. The evaluation for staged construction of Corridor 3A will examine the opportunity for phased construction of each of these major components. The estimated capital costs for the canal component presented in Section 11.0 is less than 10 percent of the total capital cost for Corridor 3A for all delivery options. Furthermore, the canal component increases only by about 15 percent for the range of transfer volumes considered. The canal component thus does not offer significant opportunities for staging of construction to defer significant capital costs.

Although representing a slightly larger percentage of total capital cost, pipelines still do not offer a significant opportunity for staging. In fact, the construction of two smaller diameter pipelines would result in a significant increase in capital cost. For example, two 66 inch diameter pipes are required to equal the capacity of one 96 inch pipe. The cost for the pipe material for two 66 inch pipes will be approximately the same as a 96 inch due to reduced plate thickness for the same pressure class as a result of smaller diameter. The trenching, installation, and appurtenances for one 66 inch pipe will be 75 to 90 percent of these costs for one 96 inch pipe. Thus, the installation costs

SEC12-0 DOC 12-1

of two 66 inch pipes would be 150 to 180 percent of one 96 inch pipe. Additional right-of-way would also be required. Given that trenching, installation, and appurtenances are about 50 percent of the total constructed cost of a pipeline, two 66 inch pipes would cost about 25 to 40 percent more than one 96 inch pipe in current year costs.

The tunnel component is the single biggest cost component for Corridor 3A. For constructibility reasons, a minimum excavated tunnel of 12 feet diameter has been selected. This tunnel diameter, even when lined for geologic or internal pressure considerations, has sufficient hydraulic capacity to accommodate all flows up to and including the design flow for a 500,000 AF transfer. Therefore, tunnel costs are independent of proposed transfer volume and no opportunity to stage tunnel construction exists.

Pumping plants are good candidates for staging. Bays can be added to the pumphouse to accommodate additional pumps as the volume of transfer water increases. The pumping plant costs again, however, represent less than 10 percent of the total capital cost, and staging would not significantly defer capital costs.

Opportunities for classical staging on Corridor 3A that result in significant cost deferral do not exist.

### Corridor 3B

Corridor 3B has component characteristics similar to 3A except the tunnel component is less than the pipeline. Corridor 3B does have considerably more pumping head and thus has two more pumping plants than 3A. Two cash flow scenarios were developed for Corridor 3B. Cash flow 3B.1 is based on full initial development of a 500,000 AF system. Cash flow 3B.2 is based on deferring capital costs of the pipeline and pumping plant components. Initially, a 250,000 AF system will be operational by the year 2012 with an expansion to 500,000 AF 10 years later.

### Corridor 5A

Because of its long tunnel component and low pumping head, Corridor 5A offers no significant opportunity for staging of construction.

### Corridor 5C

Corridor 5C is principally comprised of pipeline. Similar to Corridor 3B, Corridor 5C has a large pumping head and five pumping plants. Two cash flow scenarios were developed for Corridor 5C. Cash flow 5C.1 is based on full initial development of a 500,000 AF system. Cash flow 5C.2 is based on deferring capital costs of pipeline and

pumping plant components. Initially, a 250,000 AF system will be operational by the year 2012 with an expansion to 500,000 AF 10 years later.

## 12.3 Estimated Cash Flows

Summaries of estimated capital and annual costs and capital cost cash flow estimates for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C are presented in Tables 12-1 and 12-2 for annual transfer volumes of 300,000 AF and 400,000 AF, respectively. No staging of project development was considered for these transfer volumes. The same information is provided in Table 12-3 for an annual transfer volume of 500,000 AF. In Table 12-3, potential staged project development is also considered for Corridors 1A, 3B, and 5C. The estimated cash flows of capital costs are based on the capital cost allocation indicated in Table 12-4.

The estimated cash flows are based on the following sequence and duration of events:

EIR/EIS 36 months
Design 36 months
Construction 84 months

Based on a target on-line date in 2012 (as directed by Authority staff), the EIR/EIS must commence not later than the year 1999.

Fetir	T nated Cash Flo	able 12-1		
Listii	nated Cash Flo	ws300,000 /	AF Transfer*	
Escalation	0%			
Case	300,000 AF			
		Cash F	low Scenario	
Item	3A (\$1,000)	3B (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	5C (\$1,000)
Estimated Capital Costs				- (03,000)
Engineering Stage 1	232,684	172,612	182,225	151,754
Construction Stage 1	1,515,725	1,110,544	1,191,635	979,895
Land Acquisition	35,500	40,200	23,200	31,800
Engineering Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Construction Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Treatment Stage 1	197,400	197,400	197,400	197,400
Treatment Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Total Capital Cost	1,981,309	1,520,756	1,594,460	1,360,849
Estimated Annual Costs				
O&M Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	1,875	4,282	1,875	4,271
O&M Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	0	0	0	0
Total O&M	1,875	4,282	1,875	4,271
Power Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	23,010	31,704	25,113	34,353
Power Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	0	0	0	0
Total Power	23,010	31,704	25,113	34,353
Treatment O&M Stage 1	18,100	18,100	18,100	18,100
Treatment O&M Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Total Treatment O&M	18,100	18,100	18,100	18,100

	Estimated	Table 12-1 (C d Cash Flows3	ontinued) 00,000 AF Tran	sfer		
Capital Co	osts Cash Flow (E	scalated Values to	Indicated Year)			
		Cash I	Flow Scenario	***************************************		
Year	3A (\$1,000)	3B (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	5C (\$1,000)		
1999	11,634	8,631	9,111	7,588		
2000	17,451	12,946	13,667	11,382		
2001	17,451	12,946	13,667	11,382		
2002	46,736	39,292	35,067	33,363		
2003	46,736	39,292	35,067	33,363		
2004	46,736	39,292	35,067	33,363		
2005	163,207	119,685	128,275	105,577		
2006	238,993	175,212	187,857	154,572		
2007	1 20,300					
2008	238,993	175,212	187,857	154,572		
2009	304,793	241,012	253,657	220,372		
2010	304,793	241,012	253,657	220,372		
2011	304,793	241,012	253,657	220,372		

SEC12-0 DOC 12-5

Estin	Tated Cash Flo	able 12-2	AF Transfer*	
			A. Hanstei	
Escalation	0%			
Case	400,000 AF			
		Cash F	low Scenario	
Item	3A (\$1,000)	3B (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	5C (\$1,000)
Estimated Capital Costs				
Engineering Stage 1	242,727	189,550	189,782	169,938
Construction Stage 1	1,582,683	1,223,465	1,242,012	1,101,117
Land Acquisition	35,500	40,200	23,200	31,800
Engineering Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Construction Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Treatment Stage 1	263,200	263,200	263,200	263,200
Treatment Stage 2	0	<u>o</u>	0	0
Total Capital Cost	2,124,110	1,716,415	1,718,194	1,566,055
Estimated Annual Costs				
O&M Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	1,875	4,282	1,875	4,271
O&M Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	0	0	0	0
Total O&M	1,875	4,282	1,875	4,271
Power Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	30,720	42,270	33,483	45,801
Power Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	0	0	0	0
Total Power	30,720	42,270	33,483	45,801
Treatment O&M Stage 1	24,100	24,100	24,100	24,100
Treatment O&M Stage 2	0	0	0	0
Total Treatment O&M	24,100	24,100	24,100	24,100
*All costs are in 1996 dol	lars.		<u> </u>	1

	Estimate	Table 12-2 (C d Cash Flows4	ontinued) 400,000 AF Tran	sfer
Capital C	Costs Cash Flow (E	scalated Values to	Indicated Year)	
		Cash I	Flow Scenario	
Year	3A (\$1,000)	3B (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	5C (\$1,000)
1999	12,136	9,478	9,489	8,497
2000	18,205	14,216	14,234	12,745
2001	18,205	14,216	14,234	12,745
2002	48,242	41,833	36,201	36,091
2003	48,242	41,833	36,201	36,091
2004	48,242	41,833	36,201	36,091
2005	170,405	131,824	133,690	118,609
2006	249,539	192,997	195,791	173,664
2007	249,539	192,997	195,791	173,664
2008	249,539	192,997	195,791	173,664
2009	337,272	280,731	283,524	261,398
2010	337,272	280,731	283,524	261,398
2011	337,272	280,731	283,524	261,398

	144	Estimated C	Table 12-3 Estimated Cash Flows500,000 AF Transfer*	3 ),000 AF Transi	er*	The state of the s	
Escalation	%0	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	The state of the s	***************************************	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	TATE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	The state of the s
Case	500,000 AF						
			Section of the latest of the l	Cash Flow Scenario	io		
Item	1A (\$1,000)	3A (\$1,000)	3B.1 (\$1,000)	3B.2 (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	5C.1 (\$1,000)	SC.2 (\$1,000)
Estimated Capital Costs		***************************************					
Engineering Stage 1	102,563	253,983	206,728	159,849	197,920	196,646	135,636
Construction Stage 1	623,751	1,657,721	1,337,984	1,065,660	1,296,269	1,279,176	904,240
Land Acquisition	000,09	35,500	40,200	40,200	23,200	31,800	31,800
Engineering Stage 2	337,442	0	0	71,928	0	0	109,504
Construction Stage 2	2,249,614	0	0	479,518	0	0	730,026
Treatment Stage 1	103,398	329,000	329,000	164,500	329,000	329,000	164,500
Treatment Stage 2	155,097	0	0	164,500	0	0	164,500
Total Capital Cost	3,631,865	2,276,204	1,913,912	2,146,155	1,846,389	1,836,622	2,240,206
Estimated Annual Costs							
O&M Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	390	1,875	4,282	3,854	1,875	4,271	2,136
O&M Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	2,700	0	0	3,854	0	0	2,136
Total O&M	3,090	1,875	4,282	7,708	1,875	4,271	4,271
Power Stage 1 (Yr 2012)	12,764	38,400	52,836	26,418	41,856	57,252	28,626
Power Stage 2 (Yr 2022)	19,146	0	0	26,418	0	0	28,626
Total Power	31,910	38,400	52,836	52,836	41,856	57,252	57,252
Treatment O&M Stage 1	8,834	30,100	30,100	15,050	30,100	30,100	15,050
Treatment O&M Stage 2	13,251	0	0	15,050	0	0	15,050
Total Treatment O&M	22,085	30,100	30,100	30,100	30,100	30,100	30,100
*All costs are in 1996 dollars.	llars.		ı.				

			Table Estimated Cash	Table 12-3 (Continued) Estimated Cash Flows500,000 AF Transfer	l) AF Transfer		
			1-11-11				
Capital	Capital Costs Cash Flow (Escalated V	calated values to	atues to indicated Year)				
			***************************************	Cash Flow Scenario	ario		
Year	1A (\$1,000)	3A (\$1,000)	3B.1 (\$1,000)	3B.2 (\$1,000)	5A (\$1,000)	SC.1 (\$1,000)	SC.2 (\$1,000)
1999	5,128	12,699	10,336	7,992	968*6	9,832	6,782
2000	7,692	19,049	15,505	11,989	14,844	14,748	10,173
2001	7,692	19,049	15,505	11,989	14,844	14,748	10,173
2002	35,384	49,931	44,409	37,377	37,421	40,097	30,945
2003	35,384	49,931	44,409	37,377	37,421	40,097	30,945
2004	35,384	49,931	44,409	37,377	37,421	40,097	30,945
2005	67,503	178,471	144,135	114,558	139,523	137,750	97,206
2006	169'86	261,357	211,034	167,841	204,336	201,709	142,418
2007	169'86	261,357	211,034	167,841	204,336	201,709	142,418
2008	169'86	261,357	211,034	167,841	204,336	201,709	142,418
2009	133,157	371,024	320,701	222,675	314,003	311,375	197,251
2010	133,157	371,024	320,701	222,675	314,003	311,375	197,251
2011	133,157	371,024	320,701	222,675	314,003	311,375	197,251
2012	26,995			5,754			8,760
2013	84,361			17,982			27,376
2014	84,361			17,982			27,376
2015	245,208			52,267			79,573
2016	357,689			76,243			116,074
2017	357,689			76,243			116,074
2018	357,689			76,243			116,074
2019	409,388			131,077			170,907
2020	409,388			131,077			170,907
2021	409,388			131,077			170,907

SEC12-0 DOC

			Cap	Table 12-4 Capital Cost Allocation			
			Ü	Capital Cost Allocation in Percent	n Percent	The second secon	
		Stage i	Stage i Development			Stage 2 Development	
Year	Engineering	Construction	Treatment	Land Acquisition	Engineering	Construction	Treatment
	5						
7	7.5				WWw.da.a.aa		
3	7.5						***********
4	15			33.33	·	Plant	
(A)	15			33.33			
9	15			33.33	-	W Statement	***************************************
_	\$	01			·		
∞	S	15				W	***************************************
6	\$	15					
10	~	15					
,t	۷ì	5	33,33				
12	5	15	33.33				·
E.	ν,	15	33.33				
4					8		·
15					25	**************************************	***************************************
16					25		
17					9	10	***********
18				THE PARTY OF THE P	9	15	***************************************
19					9	15	
20					9	2	~
21		mmeens n.e			9	15	33.33
22					9	15	33.33
23					9	15	33.33

13.0 Decision Analysis

## 13.0 Decision Analysis

There are cost risks associated with every project. The key is not to totally avoid this risk, but to be aware of its characteristics and manage it. This section presents the results of a decision analysis which was performed to provide a statistical interpretation of cost risk associated with construction and operation of the water transfer system, and to provide a basis for prudent interpretation of design decisions.

Several approaches are available for an analysis of this type. The cost and schedule implications of the approaches can vary radically, as can the usefulness of the results. Traditional deterministic analyses present only average or "expected" results. Outside of simple sensitivity cases, this approach gives the Owner and designer no indication of potential variability in cost. This omission of information associated with the quality of the design may indicate an advantage for an alternative that would not exist if the full spectrum of possibilities were known.

The probabilistic approaches to risk assessment, such as Monte Carlo analysis, have avoided this narrow view of design quality, and have been successful in quantifying full exposure and opportunity parameters. The downfall of this approach, however, has traditionally been long development times and high costs. The custom nature of the solutions have also made subsequent modifications difficult.

The approach used in this study maintains the features of the deterministic models, but still captures the full probabilistic nature of the elements, and presents a full quality assessment of the design. A traditional EXCEL spreadsheet was constructed to represent the framework and relationships of the technical and financial elements. The spreadsheet represents a fully functional deterministic analysis of the design and financial elements. Through use of a commercial overlay or "add-in" program, the deterministic elements of the spreadsheet were then replaced with probability density functions that reflect each member's full probabilistic variability and quality characteristics. The resulting spreadsheet has almost the full power of a custom built Monte Carlo simulator, and presents not only an "average" result, but the full representation of an Owner's cost risk and opportunity characteristics. The probability density functions allow quantifications of cost risk on selected parameters: pipelines, tunnels, pumping plants, power generation/pressure control facilities, water treatment facilities, brine disposal, environmental permitting and mitigation, total capital cost, and annual costs for all corridors and transfer volumes. For each possible output, an associated probability is provided, and risk exposure can be determined

SEC13-0 DOC 13-1

Each model (300,000 AF; 400,000 AF; and 500,000 AF) consists of 45 sheets in an EXCEL workbook. Of the 45 sheets, 36 were required for the tunnel cost estimate to estimate geotechnical variability. This is appropriate since the tunnels represent a large portion of the cost uncertainty

Two types of probability density functions were used: uniform and triangular. The uniform density function is defined by the lowest value considered possible and the highest value considered possible, where every value in between is equally likely to happen. The triangular density function is defined by the lowest value possible, the highest value possible, and the most likely value. The most likely value was generally the value used in the project cost estimates developed separately from the decision analysis. With the triangular density function, values are more likely to be grouped toward the most likely value. The probability of obtaining a particular value lessens as the low or high values are approached with a zero probability of falling outside the range. Highest possible and lowest possible values need not be symmetrical around the most likely value. The choice of uniform or triangular probability density function for a particular cost component was the decision of the Black & Veatch project team's expert in the particular area. The choice was based on the expert's perception of the uncertainty of the cost component. All density function parameters are contained in Appendix B.

The capital cost and annual costs for Corridors 3A, 3B, 5A, and 5C were evaluated as part of the decision analysis. Stage 1 development of Corridor 1A (CRA expansion) was not included in the decision analysis because of the wide range of estimated costs which reflects the cost sensitivity of the large and complex CRA system to existing hydraulic conditions. Additional design evaluations would be appropriate to refine the system hydraulic conditions and to more accurately estimate Stage 1 development costs. A reduced annual transfer capability should also be evaluated

Probability distributions were assigned to cost estimate line items representing significant cost. The line items where distributions were assigned are as follows:

13-2

## 1. Pipelines (Capital)

- Pipeline Cost, \$/ft
- Installation/Welding/Joints, \$/ft
- Open Cut Excavation, \$/ft
- Open Cut Backfill, \$/ft
- Shored Excavation, \$/ft
- Shored Backfill, \$/ft
- Appurtenances, \$/ft

- Fault Crossing, \$
- Highway Crossing, \$/ft
  - Railroad Crossing, \$/ft
- River Crossing, \$/ft
- Surface/Utilities, \$/ft
- Contingency, %

- 2 Tunnels (Capital)
  - Production Rate, ft/day
     Grout Water, ft
  - Install Steel Lining Cost, \$/ft
     Concrete Lining, ft
  - Sets at 4' OC, ft
     0.50" Steel Lining, ft
  - HV Sets at 4' OC, ft 1.00" Steel Lining, ft
  - HV Sets at 2' OC, ft
     High Temperature, ft
  - High Water Inflow, ft
     Contingency, %
  - Probe Drill, ft
- 3 Pumping Plants (Capital)
  - Reinforced Concrete, \$/cu yd
     Motor, \$/motor
  - Pump, \$/pump
     Contingency, %
- 4. Power Generation/Pressure Control Facilities (Capital)
  - Reinforced Concrete, \$/cu yd Generator, \$/generator
  - Turbine, \$/turbine
     Contingency, %
- 5. Water Treatment (Capital)
  - RO Equipment RO Installation
  - RO Building Brine Disposal
- 6. Environmental Permitting and Mitigation (Capital)
  - Environmental Permitting, \$
     Environmental Mitigation, \$
- 7. Annual Costs
  - Cost of Energy Water Treatment

Although the probability distributions account for selected parameter variability, contingency is still a nonzero value. The technical paper "Estimating Contingencies," <u>Civil Engineering Magazine</u>, Joe Sperry, April 1988 was used as a basis for estimating the distribution for contingency. In his paper, Mr. Sperry defines five major elements that compose contingency:

- Conceptual level contingency (0% to +10%).
- Bid contingency (5% to 10%).
- Design contingency (3% to 10%).
- Competition contingency (-5% to +10%).
- Geotechnical contingency (5% to 100%).

A probability distribution was assigned to account for conceptual level, bid, and design contingencies for pipeline, tunnels, pumping plants, and hydro facilities

Monte Carlo simulations were run consisting of 5,000 trials. This value was chosen because it provided values for the standard error of the mean that were below

SEC13-0 DOC 13-3

0.5 percent of the expected value. For each trial, the simulator selects a value for each parameter with a distribution assigned to it. The selection is based on the type of distribution assigned to the parameter. The simulator then recalculates the workbook and proceeds to the next trial. After the simulation finishes, the accounting routine generates a percentile table based on the results of the 5,000 trials. The estimated cost variability resulting from the simulations are presented as probability of nonexceedance tables (Tables 13-1 to 13-6). For example, the 80 percent line within each table represents the value where there is an 80 percent probability that the cost will be below the specified value based on the assumptions stated in Appendix B. Expanded results of the simulations are contained in Appendix C.

The results show the capital cost estimates are at the upper portion of the percentile curve, and the annual costs are approximately at the 70th percentile Based on the results of the decision analysis, the estimated ranges of capital and annual costs developed in the feasibility-level evaluations are considered reasonable and appropriate. The decision of how much risk coverage is necessary is subjective and is based on the Owners "comfort zone" Therefore, no interpretation of the results has been made.

		Table 13-1		
	Capital plus In	direct Costs	300,000 AF*	
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C
0%	1,564,322	1,288,614	1,263,629	1,141,877
5%	1,652,745	1,346,635	1,348,318	1,217,693
10%	1,674,055	1,358,913	1,364,905	1,230,367
15%	1,685,015	1,366,772	1,376,088	1,240,336
20%	1,695,630	1,374,193	1,384,356	1,247,199
25%	1,704,166	1,379,999	1,391,694	1,254,075
30%	1,712,512	1,384,820	1,398,744	1,259,808
35%	1,720,238	1,389,981	1,405,753	1,265,401
40%	1,727,937	1,394,503	1,412,140	1,271,408
45%	1,735,295	1,399,303	1,417,959	1,276,685
50%	1,742,164	1,403,961	1,425,004	1,281,617
55%	1,749,347	1,408,333	1,431,769	1,286,740
60%	1,757,014	1,413,032	1,438,068	1,291,173
65%	1,765,580	1,418,854	1,445,723	1,296,219
70%	1,773,864	1,423,682	1,453,490	1,302,115
75%	1,784,003	1,429,505	1,461,968	1,307,899
80%	1,794,833	1,435,990	1,473,472	1,314,281
85%	1,807,916	1,443,565	1,486,793	1,321,483
90%	1,823,648	1,453,035	1,501,618	1,330,866
95%	1,848,999	1,469,433	1,525,241	1,345,349
100%	1,989,076	1,553,098	1,639,841	1,425,893

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

		Table 13-2		
	Capital plus In	direct Costs -	400,000 AF*	
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C
0%	1,672,044	1,439,752	1,372,760	1,333,179
5%	1,784,687	1,521,432	1,458,999	1,406,051
10%	1,802,543	1,535,162	1,474,895	1,420,318
15%	1,815,433	1,544,495	1,488,368	1,431,167
20%	1,826,316	1,552,180	1,497,660	1,440,333
25%	1,835,544	1,558,565	1,505,657	1,448,887
30%	1,843,778	1,564,522	1,513,173	1,456,084
35%	1,851,261	1,569,927	1,519,988	1,462,689
40%	1,859,562	1,575,734	1,527,027	1,468,489
45%	1,867,253	1,581,356	1,534,089	1,474,255
50%	1,874,924	1,586,624	1,540,584	1,479,632
55%	1,883,226	1,591,896	1,548,052	1,485,780
60%	1,890,852	1,596,776	1,555,604	1,491,797
65%	1,899,207	1,602,111	1,562,877	1,498,378
70%	1,908,600	1,607,842	1,570,643	1,505,345
75%	1,918,859	1,614,197	1,579,367	1,512,846
80%	1,929,400	1,621,934	1,589,610	1,521,420
85%	1,942,927	1,630,669	1,601,962	1,530,359
90%	1,958,268	1,641,227	1,617,718	1,540,147
95%	1,982,077	1,656,676	1,640,389	1,557,874
100%	2,135,357	1,732,629	1,779,941	1,671,915

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

		Table 13-3		
	Capital plus In		500,000 AF*	
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C
0%	1,859,413	1,651,334	1,506,559	1,577,150
5%	1,939,858	1,718,039	1,585,524	1,673,423
10%	1,959,326	1,732,995	1,603,229	1,690,983
15%	1,972,430	1,743,768	1,615,315	1,704,842
20%	1,983,523	1,752,905	1,624,972	1,715,218
25%	1,994,063	1,760,034	1,633,671	1,724,113
30%	2,003,126	1,766,427	1,642,508	1,731,509
35%	2,011,991	1,772,765	1,649,640	1,739,548
40%	2,019,792	1,778,746	1,656,374	1,745,722
45%	2,027,649	1,784,085	1,662,921	1,753,999
50%	2,035,297	1,790,204	1,670,149	1,761,512
55%	2,043,501	1,795,961	1,677,172	1,769,117
60%	2,052,164	1,801,825	1,685,021	1,775,686
65%	2,059,989	1,807,402	1,692,741	1,782,597
70%	2,068,275	1,814,523	1,701,257	1,790,146
75%	2,078,848	1,821,432	1,710,857	1,798,918
80%	2,091,113	1,829,359	1,720,604	1,808,273
85%	2,103,096	1,838,311	1,731,913	1,819,285
90%	2,119,979	1,849,603	1,747,341	1,832,773
95%	2,144,879	1,866,041	1,770,473	1,854,903
100%	2,292,948	2,008,682	1,908,458	1,968,505

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

		able 13-4 sts - 300,000	AF*	
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C
0%	35,330	45,143	37,121	47,388
5%	38,403	48,668	40,297	51,037
10%	39,043	49,449	40,998	51,844
15%	39,544	49,974	41,496	52,407
20%	39,943	50,443	41,911	52,897
25%	40,291	50,826	42,258	53,289
30%	40,614	51,187	42,589	53,655
35%	40,880	51,510	42,881	53,991
40%	41,175	51,847	43,167	54,356
45%	41,428	52,136	43,437	54,667
50%	41,689	52,439	43,697	54,990
55%	41,946	52,752	43,980	55,297
60%	42,208	53,058	44,247	55,621
65%	42,512	53,363	44,551	55,949
70%	42,814	53,757	44,875	56,350
75%	43,162	54,135	45,226	56,756
80%	43,579	54,674	45,675	57,312
85%	44,100	55,367	46,242	58,046
90%	44,878	56,602	47,113	59,523
95%	46,579	58,949	49,021	62,004
100%	51,748	65,213	54,422	68,571

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

		able 13-5		
	Annual Co	sts - 400,000	AF*	
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C
0%	46,490	58,736	48,844	61,732
5%	50,583	63,430	53,076	66,595
10%	51,442	64,473	54,011	67,671
15%	52,105	65,173	54,675	68,413
20%	52,639	65,802	55,224	69,070
25%	53,101	66,310	55,685	69,593
30%	53,532	66,789	56,126	70,082
35%	53,889	67,221	56,519	70,529
40%	54,280	67,667	56,896	71,016
45%	54,623	68,051	57,258	71,430
50%	54,966	68,457	57,605	71,860
55%	55,306	68,875	57,980	72,273
60%	55,659	69,281	58,337	72,700
65%	56,064	69,689	58,745	73,138
70%	56,468	70,214	59,173	73,671
75%	56,925	70,716	59,641	74,215
80%	57,481	71,436	60,238	74,955
85%	58,183	72,354	60,994	75,935
90%	59,223	74,004	62,153	77,904
95%	61,491	77,127	64,701	81,211
100%	68,385	85,482	71,899	89,963

Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

Table 13-6 Annual Costs - 500,000 AF*						
<u>Percentile</u>	3A	3B	5A	5C		
0%	57,625	72,328	60,569	76,079		
5%	62,738	78,192	65,857	82,156		
10%	63,812	79,496	67,023	83,500		
15%	64,641	80,372	67,853	84,428		
20%	65,306	81,159	68,540	85,249		
25%	65,883	81,791	69,112	85,901		
30%	66,422	82,391	69,669	86,514		
35%	66,868	82,928	70,157	87,069		
40%	67,357	83,487	70,631	87,680		
45%	67,785	83,970	71,081	88,198		
50%	68,214	84,477	71,513	88,734		
55%	68,639	84,997	71,983	89,250		
60%	69,075	85,506	72,430	89,784		
65%	69,585	86,014	72,938	90,331		
70%	70,089	86,669	73,476	90,998		
75%	70,664	87,297	74,057	91,677		
80%	71,356	88,188	74,805	92,599		
85%	72,235	89,345	75,742	93,829		
90%	73,539	91,417	77,200	96,289		
95%	76,375	95,311	80,384	100,422		
100%	84,984	105,752	89,379	111,358		

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are in January 1996 \$1,000

13-10